

**Recap**

Proof of data processing inequality and equality conditions

- )  $D(\rho_{AB} \parallel \sigma_{AB}) \geq D(\rho_A \parallel \sigma_A)$  ( $\text{supp } \rho_{AB} \subseteq \text{supp } \sigma_{AB}$ )
- ) assume  $\rho_{AB}$  invertible  $\Rightarrow \rho_A$  invertible, and  $\sigma_{AB}, \sigma_A$  invertible
- ) define relative modulation operators:  $\Delta_{AB} = \sigma_{AB} \cdot \rho_{AB}^{-1} : \mathcal{B}(\mathcal{H}_{AB}) \rightarrow \mathcal{B}(\mathcal{H}_{AB})$   
 $\Delta_A = \sigma_A \cdot \rho_A^{-1} : \mathcal{B}(\mathcal{H}_A) \rightarrow \mathcal{B}(\mathcal{H}_A)$

·)  $X \in \{AB, A\}$ :  $\log(\Delta_X)(w_X) = (\log \sigma_X) w_X - w_X \log \rho_X$

·)  $D(\rho_X \parallel \sigma_X) = \langle \rho_X^{1/2}, -\log(\Delta_X)(\rho_X^{1/2}) \rangle$  for  $X \in \{AB, A\}$ .

·) Goal: find an isometry  $U: A \rightarrow AB$  s.t.  $(U^\dagger U = id_A)$

$U(\rho_A^{1/2}) = \rho_{AB}^{1/2}$  and  $U^\dagger \Delta_{AB} U = \Delta_A$

$U(X_A) = (X_A \rho_A^{-1/2} \otimes \mathbb{1}_B) \rho_{AB}^{1/2}$

·)  $-\log x$  is operator convex:

(f op. conv. if  $f(\lambda A + (1-\lambda)B) \leq \lambda f(A) + (1-\lambda)f(B)$ )

$-\log(\Delta_A) = -\log(U^\dagger \Delta_{AB} U) \leq -U^\dagger \log(\Delta_{AB}) U$

by the operator Jensen inequality.

·)  $D(\rho_A \parallel \sigma_A) = \langle \rho_A^{1/2}, -\log(\Delta_A)(\rho_A^{1/2}) \rangle \leq \langle \rho_A^{1/2}, -U^\dagger \log(\Delta_{AB}) U(\rho_A^{1/2}) \rangle$   
 $= D(\rho_{AB} \parallel \sigma_{AB})$  (DPI)

→ equality in DPI:

$$(*) \quad \langle \rho_A^{1/2}, \underbrace{-\log(U^\dagger \Delta_{AB} U)}_{\text{operator}} (\rho_A^{1/2}) \rangle = \langle \rho_A^{1/2}, \underbrace{-U^\dagger \log(\Delta_{AB}) U}_{\text{operator}} (\rho_A^{1/2}) \rangle$$

→ Integral representation:  $-\log x = \int_0^\infty dt \left[ (x+t)^{-1} - (1+t)^{-1} \right]$

$$\rightarrow (*) \Rightarrow \int_0^\infty dt \langle \rho_A^{1/2}, \underbrace{\left[ U^\dagger (\Delta_{AB} + t)^{-1} U - (U^\dagger \Delta_{AB} U + t)^{-1} \right]}_{=: X_t} (\rho_A^{1/2}) \rangle = 0$$

→  $x \mapsto (x+t)^{-1}$  is operator convex:  $X_t \geq 0$  by operator Jensen Ineq.

$$\Rightarrow \langle \rho_A^{1/2}, X_t (\rho_A^{1/2}) \rangle \geq 0 \quad \forall t$$

$$\rightarrow \int_0^\infty dt \langle \rho_A^{1/2}, X_t (\rho_A^{1/2}) \rangle = 0 \quad \Rightarrow \langle \rho_A^{1/2}, X_t (\rho_A^{1/2}) \rangle = 0 \quad \forall t$$

→ Simple observation:  $R \geq 0, \langle w | R | w \rangle = 0 \Rightarrow R | w \rangle = 0$

$$\Rightarrow X_t (\rho_A^{1/2}) = 0 \quad \text{or}$$

$$\underbrace{\rho_{AB}^{1/2}}_{\text{operator}} = U^\dagger (\Delta_{AB} + t)^{-1} U (\rho_A^{1/2}) = (\Delta_A + t)^{-1} (\rho_A^{1/2}) \quad (**)$$

→ to show:  $(**) \Rightarrow \rho_{AB} = \sigma_{AB}^{1/2} (\sigma_A^{-1/2} \rho_A \sigma_A^{-1/2} \otimes \mathbb{1}_S) \sigma_{AB}^{1/2}$

→ from (\*\*):  $U U^\dagger (\Delta_{AB} + t)^{-1} (\rho_{AB}^{1/2}) = U (\Delta_A + t)^{-1} (\rho_A^{1/2})$

→ to show:  $(\Delta_{AB} + t)^{-1} (\rho_{AB}^{1/2}) \in \text{Im } U$

$$\rightarrow \text{leads to: } (\Delta_{AB} + t)^{-1} (\rho_{AB}^{1/2}) = \mathcal{U} (\Delta_A + t)^{-1} (\rho_A^{1/2})$$

$$\rightarrow f(x) = x^{-1/2} = C \int_0^\infty dt \frac{t^{-1/2}}{x+t}$$

$$\Rightarrow \Delta_{AB}^{-1/2} (\rho_{AB}^{1/2}) = \mathcal{U} \Delta_A^{-1/2} (\rho_A^{1/2})$$

$$\Rightarrow \rho_{AB} = \sigma_{AB}^{1/2} (\sigma_A^{-1/2} \rho_A \sigma_A^{-1/2} \otimes \mathbb{1}_B) \sigma_{AB}^{1/2} \quad \square$$

**Thm 18**

$\rho_{ABC}$  is a quantum Markov chain iff  $I(A; C|B) = 0$ .

$\exists R: B \rightarrow BC$  s.t.

$$\rho_{ABC} = (\text{id}_A \otimes R)(\rho_{AB})$$

Proof:  $(\Rightarrow) \quad D(\rho_{ABC} \parallel \rho_A \otimes \rho_{BC}) \geq D(\rho_{AB} \parallel \rho_A \otimes \rho_B)$

$$\begin{array}{l} \uparrow \text{wrt. } \text{tr}_C \\ \text{DPI} \end{array} \quad (\Leftrightarrow I(A; C|B) \geq 0)$$

$$\downarrow \text{wrt. } \text{id}_A \otimes R$$

$$\geq D((\text{id}_A \otimes R)(\rho_{AB}) \parallel \rho_A \otimes R(\rho_B))$$

$$= D(\rho_{ABC} \parallel \rho_A \otimes \rho_{BC})$$

$$\Rightarrow I(A; C|B) = 0$$

Proof:  $\Rightarrow$   $D(\rho_{ABC} \parallel \rho_A \otimes \rho_{BC}) \stackrel{\uparrow}{\geq} D(\rho_{AB} \parallel \rho_A \otimes \rho_B)$   $(\Leftrightarrow \underline{I(A; C|B) \geq 0})$   
 DPI w.r.t.  $r_C$

$$\geq D((\text{id}_A \otimes R)(\rho_{AB}) \parallel \rho_A \otimes R(\rho_B))$$

$$= D(\rho_{ABC} \parallel \rho_A \otimes \rho_{BC})$$

$$\Rightarrow I(A; C|B) = 0$$

$$\Leftrightarrow I(A; C|B) = 0 \Leftrightarrow D(\rho_{ABC} \parallel \underline{\rho_A \otimes \rho_{BC}}) = D(\rho_{AB} \parallel \underline{\rho_A \otimes \rho_B})$$

Prop 19:  $\Leftrightarrow$

$$\underline{R_{\rho_A \otimes \rho_{BC}}(X_{AB})} = \left( \cancel{\rho_A}^{1/2} \otimes \rho_{BC}^{1/2} \right) \left( \cancel{\rho_A}^{-1/2} \otimes \cancel{\rho_B}^{-1/2} \right) X_{AB} \left( \cancel{\rho_A}^{-1/2} \otimes \cancel{\rho_B}^{-1/2} \right) \otimes \mathbb{1}_C$$

$$\left( \rho_A^{1/2} \otimes \rho_{BC}^{1/2} \right)$$

satisfies  $\rho_{ABC} = R_{\rho_A \otimes \rho_{BC}}(\rho_{AB})$

$$\Rightarrow \tilde{R}: X_B \mapsto \rho_{BC}^{1/2} \left( \rho_B^{-1/2} X_B \rho_B^{-1/2} \otimes \mathbb{1}_C \right) \rho_{BC}^{1/2}$$

satisfies  $\rho_{ABC} = (\text{id}_A \otimes R)(\rho_{AB}) \Rightarrow \rho_{ABC}$  is a quantum Markov chain.

□

Generalize equality condition in DPI to arbitrary quantum channels

**Prop 20** Let  $\rho, \sigma \geq 0$ ,  $\text{tr}(\rho) = 1$ ,  $\mathcal{N}: A \rightarrow B$  quantum channel  
( $\text{supp} \rho \subseteq \text{supp} \sigma$ )

Then  $D(\rho \parallel \sigma) = D(\mathcal{N}(\rho) \parallel \mathcal{N}(\sigma))$  iff

the map  $R_{\sigma, \mathcal{N}}: B \rightarrow A$

$$X_B \mapsto \sigma^{1/2} \mathcal{N}^\dagger \left( \mathcal{N}(\sigma)^{-1/2} X_B \mathcal{N}(\sigma)^{-1/2} \right) \sigma^{1/2}$$

satisfies  $R_{\sigma, \mathcal{N}}(\mathcal{N}(\sigma)) = \sigma$  (by construction)

and  $R_{\sigma, \mathcal{N}}(\mathcal{N}(\rho)) = \rho$ .

Proof:  $\Leftarrow$  as in Prop 19, prove first that  $R_{\sigma, \mathcal{N}}$  is a quantum chan. on  $\text{supp} \mathcal{N}(\sigma)$

$\rightarrow$  CP: clean, since  $X_B \mapsto \mathcal{N}(\sigma)^{-1/2} X_B \mathcal{N}(\sigma)^{-1/2}$

$$X_B \mapsto \mathcal{N}^\dagger(X_B)$$

$$X_A \mapsto \sigma^{1/2} X_A \sigma^{1/2} \text{ on all CP.}$$

$$\begin{aligned} \rightarrow \text{TP: } \text{tr} R_{\sigma, \mathcal{N}}(X_B) &= \text{tr} \left( \sigma \mathcal{N}^\dagger \left( \mathcal{N}(\sigma)^{-1/2} X_B \mathcal{N}(\sigma)^{-1/2} \right) \right) \\ &\stackrel{\text{tr}}{=} \text{tr} \left( \mathcal{N}(\sigma) \mathcal{N}(\sigma)^{-1/2} X_B \mathcal{N}(\sigma)^{-1/2} \right) \end{aligned}$$

$$\begin{aligned}
 \text{.) TP: } \operatorname{tr} R_{\sigma, N}(X_B) &= \operatorname{tr} \left( \sigma \underbrace{N^\dagger}_{\text{tr}} (N(\sigma)^{-1/2} X_B N(\sigma)^{-1/2}) \right) \\
 &= \operatorname{tr} (N(\sigma) N(\sigma)^{-1/2} X_B N(\sigma)^{-1/2}) \\
 &= \operatorname{tr} (N(\sigma)^0 X_B) = \operatorname{tr} X_B \quad \text{if } \operatorname{supp} X_B \\
 &\quad \parallel \quad \leq \operatorname{supp} N(\sigma) \\
 &\quad \lim_{a \rightarrow 0} N(\sigma)^a = \Pi_{\operatorname{supp} N(\sigma)}
 \end{aligned}$$

$$\begin{aligned}
 \rightarrow D(g \parallel \sigma) &\geq D(N(g) \parallel N(\sigma)) \geq D(R_{\sigma, N}(N(g)) \parallel R_{\sigma, N}(N(\sigma))) \\
 &= D(g \parallel \sigma)
 \end{aligned}$$

$\Leftrightarrow$   $N$  quantum channel:  $\exists \mathcal{H}_E$  and isometry  $V: \mathcal{H}_A \rightarrow \mathcal{H}_B \otimes \mathcal{H}_E$   
 s.t.  $N(X_A) = \operatorname{tr}_E V X_A V^\dagger$  (\*)

by assumption  $D(g \parallel \sigma) = D(N(g) \parallel N(\sigma))$

$$\begin{aligned}
 &\parallel \\
 \Delta(VgV^\dagger \parallel V\sigma V^\dagger) &\stackrel{(*)}{=} \Delta(\operatorname{tr}_E VgV^\dagger \parallel \operatorname{tr}_E V\sigma V^\dagger)
 \end{aligned}$$



applying Prop 19: equality holds iff

$$VgV^\dagger = (V\sigma V^\dagger)^{1/2} \left( (\operatorname{tr}_E V\sigma V^\dagger)^{-1/2} \operatorname{tr}_E VgV^\dagger (\operatorname{tr}_E V\sigma V^\dagger)^{-1/2} \otimes \mathbb{1}_E \right) (V\sigma V^\dagger)^{1/2}$$

$$V \rho V^\dagger = \underbrace{(V \sigma V^\dagger)^{1/2}}_{V \sigma^{1/2} V^\dagger} \underbrace{(\text{tr}_E V \sigma V^\dagger)^{-1/2}}_{N(\sigma)} \underbrace{\text{tr}_E V \rho V^\dagger}_{N(\rho)} \underbrace{(\text{tr}_E V \sigma V^\dagger)^{1/2}}_{N(\sigma)} \otimes \mathbb{1}_E$$

$$\underbrace{(V \sigma V^\dagger)^{1/2}}_{V \sigma^{1/2} V^\dagger}$$

$$= V \sigma^{1/2} V^\dagger \underbrace{(N(\sigma)^{-1/2} N(\rho) N(\sigma)^{1/2} \otimes \mathbb{1}_E)}_{= N^\dagger(\dots)} V \sigma^{1/2} V^\dagger$$

[ if  $N(\cdot) = \text{tr}_E V \cdot V^\dagger$ , then  $N^\dagger(\cdot) = V^\dagger (\cdot \otimes \mathbb{1}_E) V$  ]

$$\Rightarrow V \rho V^\dagger = V \sigma^{1/2} N^\dagger(N(\sigma)^{-1/2} N(\rho) N(\sigma)^{1/2}) \sigma^{1/2} V^\dagger$$

$$\Rightarrow \exists \mathcal{R}_{\sigma, N}: B \rightarrow A, X_\rho \mapsto \sigma^{1/2} N^\dagger(N(\sigma)^{-1/2} X_\rho N(\sigma)^{1/2}) \sigma^{1/2}$$

$$\text{satisfies } \rho = \mathcal{R}_{\sigma, N}(N(\rho))$$

□

**Cor 21** Let  $\rho, \sigma$  be quantum states. Then  $D(\rho \parallel \sigma) \geq 0$ ,  
and  $D(\rho \parallel \sigma) = 0$  iff  $\rho = \sigma$ .

Proof:  $D(\rho \parallel \sigma) \geq D(\text{tr}(\rho) \parallel \text{tr}(\sigma)) = D(1 \parallel 1) = 0$ .

→ If  $\xi = \sigma$ , then clearly  $D(\xi || \sigma) = 0$ .

→ if  $D(\xi || \sigma) = 0$ , then Prop 20 with  $\mathcal{N} = \mathcal{I}_n$ ,  $\mathcal{N}^t = \mathbb{1}$

gives  $\xi = \sigma^{\wedge 1/2} \mathbb{1} \sigma^{\wedge 1/2} = \sigma$ .

□