

CHAPTER 5 - MATHEMATICS OF FINITE-DIMENSIONAL

QUANTUM INFORMATION THEORY

§ 5.1 Quantum systems and quantum states

A quantum system is a physical system with one or more quantum-mechanical degrees of freedom that are either discrete or continuous:

- position and momentum of a particle
- spin of a particle (e.g., spin along z-axis of an electron)
- polarization of a photon

.....

Motivating example: spin of an electron

Two possible "basis states": spin up (\uparrow), spin down (\downarrow)

Assign to each vectors in a vector space \mathbb{C}^2 known as state space:

$$|\uparrow\rangle = \begin{pmatrix} 1 \\ 0 \end{pmatrix}, \quad |\downarrow\rangle = \begin{pmatrix} 0 \\ 1 \end{pmatrix}$$

Superposition principle (experimentally confirmed): quantum system can

be prepared in a state $|\psi\rangle = \alpha |\uparrow\rangle + \beta |\downarrow\rangle$,

where $\alpha, \beta \in \mathbb{C}$ satisfy $|\alpha|^2 + |\beta|^2 = 1$.

The probabilities of finding electron in spin-up or spin-down are given

by $\Pr(\uparrow) = |\langle \uparrow | \psi \rangle|^2 = |\alpha|^2$ and $\Pr(\downarrow) = |\langle \downarrow | \psi \rangle|^2 = |\beta|^2$.

Now formally:

1) The **state space** describing a quantum system is given by a **Hilbert space**, a complex inner-product space that is complete.

We restrict our attention to finite-dimensional Hilbert spaces $\mathcal{X} \cong \mathbb{C}^d$.

2) **Observable quantities** are represented by **Hermitian operators**

$$A \in \text{Herm}(\mathcal{X}) = \{X \in \mathcal{L}(\mathcal{X}) : X^\dagger = X\}.$$

The real eigenvalues of A can be measured in an experiment.

3) A state of a quantum system assigns an expectation value to observables, that is, it describes the expected measurement statistics of an observable in a quantum system.

We identify states with **density operators** $\rho \in \mathcal{L}(\mathcal{X})$ satisfying:

·) **positivity**: $\rho \geq 0$ ($\Leftrightarrow \langle \varphi | \rho | \varphi \rangle \geq 0 \quad \forall |\varphi\rangle \in \mathcal{X}$)

·) **normalization**: $\text{tr} \rho = 1$.

The expectation of an observable A w.r.t. a state ρ is given by

$$\langle A \rangle_\rho = \text{tr}(\rho A).$$

The set of density matrices of a finite-dim. Hilbert space is **convex** and **compact**. That is, if ρ_i are density matrices and λ_i probabilities,

then $\rho = \sum_i \lambda_i \rho_i$ is also a density matrix.

4) A **pure state** is an extreme point in the convex set of density matrices, that is, it cannot be written non-trivially as $\rho = \sum_i \lambda_i \rho_i$.

A pure density matrix has **rank 1** and can be written as a projector $\rho = |\psi\rangle\langle\psi|$ for some vector $|\psi\rangle \in \mathcal{X}$ with $\langle\psi|\psi\rangle = 1$ ($\Leftrightarrow \text{tr} \rho = 1$).

$|\psi\rangle$ is also often called a **pure state** or **state vector**.

A density matrix (state) that is **not pure** is called **mixed**.

5) A collection of state vectors $(|\psi_i\rangle)_i$ with probabilities $(p_i)_i$ is called a **pure-state ensemble** for a mixed state ρ if

$$\rho = \sum_i p_i |\psi_i\rangle\langle\psi_i|.$$

Every mixed state has infinitely many pure-state ensembles realizing it.

Particularly useful: **spectral decomposition** $\rho = \sum_i \lambda_i |v_i\rangle\langle v_i|$,

where $(\lambda_i)_i$ are the eigenvalues of ρ and $\{|v_i\rangle\}$ is an orthonormal basis of eigenvectors of ρ : $\rho|v_i\rangle = \lambda_i|v_i\rangle$.

6) Because $\rho \geq 0$ and $\text{tr}(\rho) = 1$, we have $\lambda_i \geq 0$ and $\sum_i \lambda_i = 1$.

Hence, the **eigenvalues** of a density matrix form a **probability distribution**, thus generalizing "classical" states.

§ 5.2 Measurements

Projective measurements: Let A be an observable on a quantum system \mathcal{H} in the state ρ . Consider the spectral decomposition

$$A = \sum_{\alpha} x_{\alpha} P_{\alpha},$$

where x_{α} are the eigenvalues of A and P_{α} are the orthogonal projectors onto the corresponding eigenspaces.

They satisfy: a) $P_{\alpha} \geq 0$ (in particular $P_{\alpha}^{\dagger} = P_{\alpha}$)

$$b) P_{\alpha} P_{\beta} = \delta_{\alpha\beta} P_{\alpha}$$

$$c) \sum_{\alpha} P_{\alpha} = \mathbb{1}.$$

$\{P_{\alpha}\}_{\alpha}$ is called a **projective measurement**, that gives the value x_{α} with probability $p_{\alpha} = \text{tr}(\rho P_{\alpha})$.

For the p_{α} to be probabilities, we only need a) and b) above!

This is a generalized notion of measurement,

$$\{E_k\}_k \text{ with } E_k \geq 0 \text{ and } \sum_k E_k = \mathbb{1},$$

called a **positive operator-valued measure (POVM)**. The E_k are often called effect operators. The outcome " k " is obtained with probability $p_k = \text{tr}(\rho E_k)$.

§ 5.3 Composite systems and entanglement

Consider two quantum systems A and B with associated Hilbert spaces \mathcal{H}_A and \mathcal{H}_B . The joint system AB is described by the tensor product $\mathcal{H}_{AB} := \mathcal{H}_A \otimes \mathcal{H}_B$.

Density matrices: $\rho_{AB} \in \mathcal{L}(\mathcal{H}_A \otimes \mathcal{H}_B) \cong \mathcal{L}(\mathcal{H}_A) \otimes \mathcal{L}(\mathcal{H}_B)$

The marginal state ρ_A of a bipartite state ρ_{AB} is defined via

$$\text{tr}(\rho_{AB} (X_A \otimes \mathbb{1}_B)) = \text{tr}(\rho_A X_A) \quad \forall X_A \in \mathcal{L}(\mathcal{H}_A). \quad (*)$$

This uniquely defines a linear map $\text{tr}_B: \mathcal{L}(\mathcal{H}_{AB}) \rightarrow \mathcal{L}(\mathcal{H}_A)$ called the **partial trace**. Choosing some ONB $\{|e_i\rangle_B\}_{i=1}^{|\mathcal{H}_B|}$ for \mathcal{H}_B ,

$$\text{tr}_B X_{AB} = \sum_{i=1}^{|\mathcal{H}_B|} (\mathbb{1}_A \otimes \langle e_i |_B) X_{AB} (\mathbb{1}_A \otimes |e_i\rangle_B)$$

The equation (*) shows that the marginal ρ_A describes the **effective state** of system A when doing a local measurement.

We distinguish different **types of correlations** between A and B :

1) **Product states**: $\rho_{AB} = \omega_A \otimes \sigma_B$ for states ω_A and σ_B .

In a product state, any local measurements do not depend on

the other system, hence A and B are completely uncorrelated.

2) **Separable states:** $\rho_{AB} = \sum_i p_i \omega_A^{(i)} \otimes \sigma_B^{(i)}$ for states $(\omega_A^{(i)})$ and $(\sigma_B^{(i)})$; and a probability distribution $(p_i)_i$.

Separable states describe classical correlation between A and B corresponding to the index i . Conditioned on this value i , the state $\omega_A^{(i)} \otimes \sigma_B^{(i)}$ is uncorrelated.

3) **Entangled states** are states that are not separable.

They describe quantum correlations.

Ex.: Let $\{|0\rangle, |1\rangle\}$ be a basis for \mathbb{C}^2 and consider

$$|\phi^+\rangle = \frac{1}{\sqrt{2}} (|0\rangle_A \otimes |0\rangle_B + |1\rangle_A \otimes |1\rangle_B),$$

called **EPR state, Bell state, or maximally entangled state.**

$$\rho^+ = |\phi^+\rangle \langle \phi^+| = \frac{1}{2} \begin{pmatrix} 1 & 0 & 0 & 1 \\ 0 & 0 & 0 & 0 \\ 0 & 0 & 0 & 0 \\ 1 & 0 & 0 & 1 \end{pmatrix} \text{ is not separable.}$$

Note: A pure separable state is automatically a product state.

Detecting separability is generally hard!

It is **NP-hard** to decide whether a given mixed state is separable.

However, for pure states there is a nice (and efficient) criterion based on the singular value decomposition.

Prop (Schmidt decomposition)

Let $|\psi\rangle_{AB}$ be a pure bipartite quantum state. Then there are sets of orthonormal vectors $\{|e_i\rangle_A\}_{i=1}^r$ and $\{|f_j\rangle_B\}_{j=1}^r$ and strictly positive real numbers $(\lambda_i)_{i=1}^r$ such that

$$|\psi\rangle_{AB} = \sum_{i=1}^r \sqrt{\lambda_i} |e_i\rangle_A \otimes |f_i\rangle_B.$$

The **Schmidt coefficients** $(\lambda_i)_{i=1}^r$ satisfy $\sum_{i=1}^r \lambda_i = 1$, and are unique up to reordering. The integer r is called **Schmidt rank** of $|\psi\rangle_{AB}$.

$|\psi\rangle_{AB}$ is entangled iff $r > 1$. The marginals of $|\psi\rangle_{AB}$ are given by

$$\rho_A = \text{tr}_B \rho_{AB} = \sum_{i=1}^r \lambda_i |e_i\rangle\langle e_i|_A$$

$$\rho_B = \text{tr}_A \rho_{AB} = \sum_{i=1}^r \lambda_i |f_i\rangle\langle f_i|_B.$$

These are spectral decompositions, i.e., ρ_A and ρ_B have the same spectrum given by the Schmidt coefficients, and the **Schmidt vectors** $\{|e_i\rangle_A\}$ and $\{|f_j\rangle_B\}$ can be completed to eigenbases of ρ_A and ρ_B , resp.

Proof sketch: Consider ONBs $\{|v_i\rangle_A\}_{i=1}^{|A|}$ and $\{|w_j\rangle_B\}_{j=1}^{|B|}$, and

expand $|\psi\rangle_{AB} = \sum_{i,j} x_{ij} |v_i\rangle_A \otimes |w_j\rangle_B$. All claims now follow from

the singular value decomposition of the matrix X with coefficients x_{ij} .

□

Def (Purification)

Let ρ_A be a mixed quantum state. Any state $|\psi\rangle_{AR} \in \mathcal{H}_A \otimes \mathcal{H}_R$ satisfying $\text{tr}_R \rho_{AR} = \rho_A$, where \mathcal{H}_R is some auxiliary Hilbert space, is called a purification of ρ_A .

Prop Let ρ_A be a mixed quantum state.

- i) A purification of ρ exists on $\mathcal{H}_A \otimes \mathcal{H}_R$, where $\dim \mathcal{H}_R \geq \text{rank } \rho_A$.
- ii) Let $|\psi\rangle_{AR_1}$ and $|\psi\rangle_{AR_2}$ be two purifications of ρ_A , and w.l.o.g. assume $\dim \mathcal{H}_{R_1} \leq \dim \mathcal{H}_{R_2}$. Then there exists an isometry

$$V: \mathcal{H}_{R_1} \rightarrow \mathcal{H}_{R_2} \text{ s.t. } |\psi\rangle_{AR_2} = (\mathbb{1}_A \otimes V) |\psi\rangle_{AR_1}.$$

Proof: i) Consider a spectral decomposition $\rho_A = \sum_{i=1}^n \lambda_i |v_i\rangle\langle v_i|_A$, where $\lambda_i > 0$ s.t. $n = \text{rank}(\rho_A)$. Take $\mathcal{H}_R = \mathbb{C}^n$ with ONB $\{|w_i\rangle_R\}_{i=1}^n$ then $|\psi\rangle_{AR} := \sum_{i=1}^n \sqrt{\lambda_i} |v_i\rangle_A \otimes |w_i\rangle_R$ is the desired purification.

ii) Follows easily from Schmidt decomposition. \square

§ 5.4 Distance measures

There are many ways of measuring how close quantum states are, which is important because we want to quantify approximations.

Here, we focus on two measures: fidelity and trace norm.

First, we define the trace norm of a linear operator:

$$X \in \mathcal{L}(\mathcal{X}): \|X\|_1 = \text{tr} \sqrt{X^\dagger X} = \sum_{i=1}^d s_i(X)$$

where $d = \dim \mathcal{X}$ and $s_i(X)$ are the singular values of X .

If X is Hermitian with real eigenvalues λ_i , then $\|X\|_1 = \sum_{i=1}^d |\lambda_i|$.

$\|\cdot\|_1$ is a norm in the mathematical sense.

Def (Trace distance)

Let ρ and σ be quantum states on \mathcal{X} . Then their trace distance is defined as $D(\rho, \sigma) := \frac{1}{2} \|\rho - \sigma\|_1$.

Properties of the trace distance:

- 1) $D(\cdot, \cdot)$ is a metric, i.e., non-neg., symmetric, and satisfies the triangle inequality.
- 2) $0 \leq D(\rho, \sigma) \leq 1$, and $D(\rho, \sigma) = 0 \iff \rho = \sigma$
and $D(\rho, \sigma) = 1 \iff \text{supp } \rho \perp \text{supp } \sigma$ (where $\text{supp } X := (\ker X)^\perp$)
- 3) $D(\rho, \sigma) = D(U\rho U^\dagger, U\sigma U^\dagger)$ for all unitaries U , and
 $D(\rho_A, \sigma_A) \leq D(\rho_{AB}, \sigma_{AB})$.
- 4) $D(\rho, \sigma) = \sup \{ \text{tr}[P(\rho - \sigma)] : P \geq 0 \text{ and } \mathbb{1} - P \geq 0. \}$
- 5) $D(\rho, \sigma)$ is related to the max. probability of distinguishing ρ and σ .

Def (Fidelity)

The fidelity $F(\rho, \sigma)$ of quantum states ρ and σ is defined as

$$F(\rho, \sigma) = \|\sqrt{\rho} \sqrt{\sigma}\|_1 = \text{tr}(\sigma^{1/2} \rho \sigma^{1/2})^{1/2}.$$

Properties of the fidelity:

1) $0 \leq F(\rho, \sigma) \leq 1$, and $F(\rho, \sigma) = 1$ iff $\rho = \sigma$,

$$F(\rho, \sigma) = 0 \text{ iff } \text{supp } \rho \perp \text{supp } \sigma.$$

2) $F(\rho, \sigma) = F(\sigma, \rho)$, but F is not a metric.

3) $F(\rho, \sigma) = F(U \rho U^\dagger, U \sigma U^\dagger)$ for all unitaries U ,

$$\text{and } F(\rho_{AB}, \sigma_{AB}) \leq F(\rho_A, \sigma_A).$$

4) $F(\cdot, \cdot)$ is jointly concave: $F(\sum_i p_i \rho_i, \sum_i p_i \sigma_i) \geq \sum_i p_i F(\rho_i, \sigma_i)$.

5) For pure states $|\psi\rangle$ and $|\varphi\rangle$, $F(\psi, \varphi) = |\langle \psi | \varphi \rangle|$.

6) Uhlmann's theorem:

$$F(\rho, \sigma) = \max \{ |\langle \psi^\rho | \psi^\sigma \rangle| : |\psi^\rho\rangle \text{ purifies } \rho, |\psi^\sigma\rangle \text{ pur. } \sigma \}.$$

Prop (Fuchs-van de Graaf inequalities)

For any two quantum states ρ and σ ,

$$1 - F(\rho, \sigma) \leq D(\rho, \sigma) \leq \sqrt{1 - F(\rho, \sigma)^2}.$$