

## Lecture 22: Eigenspaces and diagonalization

Last time: Existence of eigenvalues and upper-triangular matrices

Today: Is there an even simpler form of operators in terms of diagonal matrices?

$A \in M_n(\mathbb{F})$  diagonal:  $A_{ij} = 0$  for  $i \neq j$ ,  $1 \leq i, j \leq n$ .

$$A = \begin{pmatrix} * & & 0 \\ & * & \\ 0 & \vdots & \\ & & * \end{pmatrix}$$

**Def 5.3** Eigenspace

Let  $T \in \mathcal{L}_{\mathbb{F}}(V)$  and  $\lambda \in \mathbb{F}$ . The eigenspace of  $T$  corresponding to  $\lambda$  is denoted  $E(\lambda, T)$  and defined as

$$E(\lambda, T) = \ker(T - \lambda I_V)$$

This is a subspace of  $V$  (as the kernel of a linear map),

and  $E(\lambda, T) \neq \{0\}$  iff  $\lambda$  is an eigenvalue of  $T$

(because then  $\exists v \in E(\lambda, T)$ ,  $v \neq 0$ , s.t.  $(T - \lambda I_V)(v) = 0$  or  $T(v) = \lambda v$ ).

**Prop 5.38**

Let  $V$  be finite-dim.,  $T \in \mathcal{L}_{\mathbb{F}}(V)$ , and

$\lambda_1, \dots, \lambda_m$  be distinct eigenvalues of  $T$  (i.e.  $\lambda_i \neq \lambda_j$  for  $i \neq j$ ).

Then  $E(\lambda_1, T) + \dots + E(\lambda_m, T)$  is a direct sum, and

$$\sum_{i=1}^m \dim E(\lambda_i, T) \leq \dim V.$$

Proof: Let  $u_i \in E(\lambda_i, T)$  for  $i=1, \dots, m$  ( $T(u_i) = \lambda_i u_i$ ), s.t.

$$0 = u_1 + \dots + u_m.$$

Since the  $u_i$  are either zero or eigenvectors to distinct eigenvalues and hence linearly independent (Prop 5.10)

$$\rightarrow u_i = 0 \text{ for all } i=1, \dots, m$$

$\rightarrow \sum_{i=1}^m E(\lambda_i, T)$  is a direct sum, and

$$\dim \bigoplus_{i=1}^m E(\lambda_i, T) = \sum_{i=1}^m \dim E(\lambda_i, T) \leq \dim V. \quad \square$$

**Def 5.39**

An operator  $T \in \mathcal{L}_{\mathbb{F}}(V)$  is called diagonalizable,

if there exists a basis  $B_V$  of  $V$  s.t.  $A = M(T)_{B_V, B_V}$  is diagonal,

i.e.,  $A_{ij} = 0$  for  $i \neq j$ ,  $1 \leq i, j \leq \dim V$ .

Prop 5.41

Conditions for diagonalizability

Let  $V$  be finite-dim. VS,  $T \in \mathcal{L}_{\mathbb{F}}(V)$ , and let

$\lambda_1, \dots, \lambda_m$  denote the distinct eigenvalues of  $T$ .

TFAE: i)  $T$  is diagonalizable.

ii)  $V$  has a basis consisting of eigenvectors of  $T$ .

iii) there are 1-dim subspaces  $U_1, \dots, U_m$  of  $V$  s.t.

each  $U_i$  is invariant under  $T$  ( $T(U_i) \subseteq U_i$ )

and  $V = U_1 \oplus \dots \oplus U_m$  ( $\dim V = n$ )

iv)  $V = E(\lambda_1, T) \oplus \dots \oplus E(\lambda_m, T)$

v)  $\dim V = \dim E(\lambda_1, T) + \dots + \dim E(\lambda_m, T)$

Proof: i)  $\Leftrightarrow$  ii):  $T$  is diag. ble iff  $\exists \mathcal{B}_V = \{v_1, \dots, v_n\}$  s.t.

$M(T)_{\mathcal{B}_V, \mathcal{B}_V}$  is diagonal:  $T(v_j) = \mu_j v_j$

for some  $\mu_j \in \mathbb{F}$

( $\Rightarrow \mu_j = \lambda_j$ )

ii)  $\Rightarrow$  iii) Let  $\{v_1, \dots, v_n\}$  be a basis for  $V$  with  $T(v_j) = \lambda_j v_j$

for some  $\lambda_j \in \mathbb{F}$ . Define  $U_j = \langle v_j \rangle$  for  $j = 1, \dots, n$ .

Then  $\dim U_j = 1$  and  $T(U_j) \subseteq U_j$ , and  $V = \bigoplus_{j=1}^n U_j$ .

iii)  $\Rightarrow$  ii) Let  $V = \bigoplus_{j=1}^n U_j$  for  $U_j \subseteq V$ ,  $\dim U_j = 1$ ,

and  $T(U_j) \subseteq U_j$ . Choose  $v_i \in U_i$ ,  $v_i \neq 0$ , s.t.  $U_i = \langle v_i \rangle$

Choose  $w_i \in U_i$ ,  $w_i \neq 0$ , i.e.,  $w_i = a_i v_i$  for some  $a_i \neq 0$ .

Then  $T(w_i) = T(a_i v_i) = a_i T(v_i) = c_i v_i$  for  $c_i \in \mathbb{F}$ .

$$\Rightarrow T(v_i) = \frac{c_i}{a_i} v_i \Rightarrow v_i \text{ is an eigenvector.}$$

Moreover,  $\{v_1, \dots, v_n\}$  is a basis for  $V$  because  $V = \bigoplus_{i=1}^n \langle v_i \rangle$ .

$\Rightarrow$  i)  $\Leftrightarrow$  ii)  $\Leftrightarrow$  iii)

ii)  $\Rightarrow$  iv) If  $V$  has a basis of eigenvectors  $\{v_1, \dots, v_n\}$ ,

then  $v_i \in E(\lambda_j, T)$  for  $i=1, \dots, n$ ,  $j=1, \dots, m$ , so

$$V = E(\lambda_1, T) + \dots + E(\lambda_m, T) = E(\lambda_1, T) \oplus \dots \oplus E(\lambda_m, T)$$

$\uparrow$   
Prop 5.38

iv)  $\Rightarrow$  v) Clear by properties of a direct sum.

v)  $\Rightarrow$  ii) know:  $\dim V = \dim E(\lambda_1, T) + \dots + \dim E(\lambda_m, T)$

Choose bases for each  $E(\lambda_i, T)$ ,  $i=1, \dots, m$ .

Then we have a list  $\{v_1, \dots, v_n\}$  of vectors in  $V$ , and  $\dim V = n$ .

$v_i \neq 0$  for  $i=1, \dots, m$ , so all  $v_i$ 's are eigenvectors of  $T$ .

To show that  $\{v_1, \dots, v_n\}$  is a basis, we only need to show that they are linearly independent:

$$\text{Let } a_1 v_1 + \dots + a_n v_n = 0 \text{ for some } a_i \in \mathbb{F}. \quad (*)$$

Let  $u_j$  ( $j=1, \dots, m$ ) be the sum of those  $a_h v_h$  that are in  $E(\lambda_j, T)$ . Then  $(*)$  means that  $u_1 + \dots + u_m = 0$  for  $u_j \in E(\lambda_j, T)$ .

But the  $E(\lambda_j, T)$  form a direct sum (Prop 5.38), so

each  $u_j = 0$ , and then all the  $a_h$  coefficients in the

$a_h v_h$ -terms for  $u_j$  are also zero (because the  $v_h$ 's form

bases for these spaces), and hence  $a_h = 0$  for all  $h=1, \dots, n$ .

$\Rightarrow \{v_1, \dots, v_n\}$  are lin. independent and hence a

basis of eigenvectors  $\Rightarrow ii) \square$

Ex.: Not every operator is diagonalizable! (Not even over  $\mathbb{C}$ !)

$$T: \mathbb{C}^2 \rightarrow \mathbb{C}^2, \quad \begin{pmatrix} w \\ z \end{pmatrix} = \begin{pmatrix} z \\ 0 \end{pmatrix}$$

Then  $A = M(T) = \begin{pmatrix} 0 & 1 \\ 0 & 0 \end{pmatrix} \Rightarrow 0$  is the only eigenvalue of  $T$ ,

and  $E(0, T) = \left\{ \begin{pmatrix} w \\ 0 \end{pmatrix} : w \in \mathbb{C} \right\}$  has  $\dim. 1 \neq \dim \mathbb{C}^2 = 2$ .

Prop 5.44 If  $T \in \mathcal{L}_{\mathbb{F}}(V)$  with  $\dim V = n$ , and  $T$  has  $n$  distinct eigenvalues, then  $T$  is diagonalizable.

Proof: Since eigenvectors to distinct eigenvalues are lin. independent,  $V$  has a basis of eigenvectors of  $T$ , and hence  $T$  is diagonalizable by Prop 5.41.  $\square$