

Recap

→ Let $N: A \rightarrow B$ be (G, U_g, V_g) -covariant and $g \mapsto V_g$ irreducible.

Then $N\left(\frac{1}{|X|} \mathbb{1}_X\right) = \frac{1}{|K|} \mathbb{1}_K$. (Connection to last lecture)

If $|X| = |K|$, then N is unital.

→ Holevo information: $\chi(N) = \max_{\{p_x, \rho_x\}} S\left(\sum_x p_x N(\rho_x)\right) - \sum_x p_x S(N(\rho_x))$

→ Maximization can be restricted to pure-state ensembles.

→ Alternative expression for χ : Consider classical-quantum state

$$\sigma_{XB} = \sum_x p_x |x\rangle\langle x|_X \otimes N(\rho_A^x),$$

then $\chi(N) = I(X; B) = S(\sigma_X) + S(\sigma_B) - S(\sigma_{XB})$

→ $I(A; B)$ is called the mutual information.

→ Let $N: A \rightarrow B$ be (G, U_g, V_g) -covariant.

→ If $g \mapsto U_g$ on \mathcal{H}_A is irreducible:

$$\chi(N) = S\left(N\left(\frac{1}{|A|} \mathbb{1}_A\right)\right) - \min_{|\psi\rangle_A} S(N(\psi_A))$$

→ If furthermore $g \mapsto V_g$ on \mathcal{H}_B is irreducible:

$$\chi(N) = \log |B| - \min_{|\psi\rangle_A} S(N(\psi_A))$$

Prop 23 Let $\mathcal{N}: \mathcal{B}(\mathcal{X}) \rightarrow \mathcal{B}(\mathcal{K})$ be a (G, U_g, V_g) -covariant

with $g \mapsto V_g$ on \mathcal{K} is irreducible.

Then $\mathcal{N}\left(\frac{1}{|\mathcal{X}|} \mathbb{1}_{\mathcal{X}}\right) = \frac{1}{|\mathcal{K}|} \mathbb{1}_{\mathcal{K}}$. If $|\mathcal{X}| = |\mathcal{K}|$, then \mathcal{N} is unital.

Proof: $V_g \mathcal{N}(\cdot) V_g^\dagger = \mathcal{N}(U_g \cdot U_g^\dagger) \quad \forall g \in G$

$$\Rightarrow \mathcal{N}(\mathbb{1}_{\mathcal{X}}) = \mathcal{N}(U_g U_g^\dagger) = V_g \mathcal{N}(\mathbb{1}_{\mathcal{X}}) V_g^\dagger \quad \forall g \in G \quad \text{tr } \mathbb{1}_{\mathcal{X}} = |\mathcal{X}|$$

$$\Rightarrow \mathcal{N}(\mathbb{1}_{\mathcal{X}}) = \frac{1}{|G|} \sum_{g \in G} V_g \mathcal{N}(\mathbb{1}_{\mathcal{X}}) V_g^\dagger \stackrel{\text{Lemma 22}}{\Rightarrow} \mathcal{N}(\mathbb{1}_{\mathcal{X}}) = \frac{\text{tr } \mathcal{N}(\mathbb{1}_{\mathcal{X}})}{|\mathcal{K}|} \mathbb{1}_{\mathcal{K}}$$

$$\Rightarrow \mathcal{N}(\mathbb{1}_{\mathcal{X}}) = \frac{|\mathcal{X}|}{|\mathcal{K}|} \mathbb{1}_{\mathcal{K}}.$$

□

Example of a channel with properties as in Prop 23 and $|\mathcal{X}| \neq |\mathcal{K}|$:

$$\mathcal{N}(X) = \frac{\text{tr}(X)}{|\mathcal{X}|} \mathbb{1}_{\mathcal{K}}$$

This is a channel for all choices of $|\mathcal{X}|, |\mathcal{K}|$, and all unitary representations $g \mapsto U_g$ on \mathcal{X} and $g \mapsto V_g$ on \mathcal{K} for any G .

§ 3.4 Minimum output entropy and classical capacity

Recall: Holevo information $\chi(N) = \max_{\{P_x, \rho_x\}} S(\sum_x P_x N(\rho_x)) - \sum_x P_x S(N(\rho_x))$

·) HSW-theorem: $\chi(N)$ is the classical capacity of N

IF only product encodings are allowed.

·) Full classical capacity (allowing entangled inputs):

$$C(N) = \sup_{n \in \mathbb{N}} \frac{1}{n} \chi(N^{\otimes n}) = \lim_{n \rightarrow \infty} \frac{1}{n} \chi(N^{\otimes n}) \\ \geq \chi(N)$$

Big question: $\exists N: C(N) > \chi(N)$?

$$\Leftrightarrow \exists n \in \mathbb{N} \text{ s.t. } \chi(N^{\otimes n}) > n \chi(N) ?$$

(non-additivity of χ)

Sher '04: χ is additive iff

a) minimum output entropy $S_{\min}(N) = \min_{|\psi\rangle} S(N(|\psi\rangle))$ is additive

iff b) entanglement of formation $E_F(\rho_{AB}) = \min_{\{P_x, |\psi_x\rangle\}} \sum_x P_x S(\text{tr}_B \psi_x)$

$$\text{where } \rho_{AB} = \sum_x P_x |\psi_x\rangle \langle \psi_x|$$

is additive.

Construction of channels for which

(non-additivity of $\mathcal{H}(\rho \in)$)

\Rightarrow (non-additivity of χ)

Let $N_1, N_2: A_i \rightarrow B_i$ be such that

$$S_{\min}(N_1 \otimes N_2) < S_{\min}(N_1) + S_{\min}(N_2)$$

$$N_i': A_i \subset C_i \rightarrow B_i, \quad N_i'(\rho \otimes \sigma) = \sum_{k,l} X^k Z^l N_i(\rho) (X^l Z^k)^\dagger \langle k,l | \sigma | k,l \rangle$$

\uparrow \uparrow

$|C_i| = |B_i|^2$ $X, Z \dots$ generalized Pauli ops on B
($B_1 \cong B_2$)

$$\begin{aligned} \chi(N_i') &= \max_{\{p_x, \rho_x\}} S\left(\sum_x p_x N_i'(\rho_x)\right) - \sum_x p_x S(N_i'(\rho_x)) \\ &\leq \log |B_i| - \underbrace{\min_{|\psi\rangle} S(N_i'(|\psi\rangle))}_{S_{\min}(N_i')} \end{aligned}$$

$$p_{k,l} = \frac{1}{|B_i|^2}, \quad |\psi_{k,l}\rangle = |\psi\rangle \otimes |k,l\rangle \quad \text{where } |\psi\rangle \text{ achieves } \mathcal{H}(\rho \in).$$

$$\begin{aligned} \sum_{k,l} p_{k,l} N_i'(|\psi\rangle \otimes |k,l\rangle X_{k,l}) &= \frac{1}{|B_i|^2} \sum_{k,l} X^k Z^l N_i(|\psi\rangle) (X^l Z^k)^\dagger \\ &\stackrel{\text{Lemma ??}}{=} \frac{1}{|B_i|} \mathbb{1}_{B_i} \end{aligned}$$

$$S\left(\sum_{h,l} p_{h,l} \mathcal{N}_i'(\varphi \otimes |h,l\rangle\langle h,l|)\right) = \log |B_i|$$

$$\begin{aligned} S(\mathcal{N}_i'(\varphi \otimes |h,l\rangle\langle h,l|)) &= S(X^h Z^l \mathcal{N}_i(\varphi) (X^h Z^l)^\dagger) \quad \left[S(U \rho U^\dagger) = S(\rho) \right] \\ &= S(\mathcal{N}_i(\varphi)) \\ &= S_{\min}(\mathcal{N}_i). \end{aligned}$$

$$\Rightarrow \boxed{\chi(\mathcal{N}_i) = \log |B_i| - S_{\min}(\mathcal{N}_i)}$$

$$\chi(\mathcal{N}_1' \otimes \mathcal{N}_2') = \log |B_1| + \log |B_2| - \underbrace{S_{\min}(\mathcal{N}_1 \otimes \mathcal{N}_2)}_{< S_{\min}(\mathcal{N}_1) + S_{\min}(\mathcal{N}_2)}$$

$$> \log |B_1| + \log |B_2| - S_{\min}(\mathcal{N}_1) - S_{\min}(\mathcal{N}_2)$$

$$= \chi(\mathcal{N}_1') + \chi(\mathcal{N}_2').$$

non-additivity of $\Pi_{OE} \Rightarrow$ non-additivity of χ

Classes of channels with strongly additive S_{\min} : $S_{\min}(\mathcal{N} \otimes \mathcal{M}) =$

→ Entanglement-breaking channels (Shor '02)

→ d-dim depolarizing channels (King '03)

→ unital qubit channels (King '02)

→ Hadamard channels (King et al. '04)

$$S_{\min}(\mathcal{N}) + S_{\min}(\mathcal{M})$$

for any \mathcal{M}

Hastings (198): Counter-example to additivity conjecture

Review of counter-example:

open problem!

1) based on random matrix theory \rightarrow no explicit counter-example known.

2) A, B quantum systems:

$$\xi(\rho_A) = \text{tr}_A \left(U_{AB} (\rho_A \otimes |0\rangle\langle 0|_B) U_{AB}^\dagger \right) : A \rightarrow B$$

with environment A

$$\bar{\xi}(\rho_A) = \text{tr}_A \left(\bar{U}_{AB} (\rho_A \otimes |0\rangle\langle 0|_B) \bar{U}_{AB}^\dagger \right)$$

3) Idea: $|A| \gg |B|$ and draw U_{AB} from Haar measure

on $\mathcal{U}(|A| \cdot |B|)$

1) $\forall U \in \mathcal{U}(|A| \cdot |B|)$,

$$S_{\min}(\xi \otimes \bar{\xi}) < S_{\min}(\xi) + S_{\min}(\bar{\xi})$$

$$S_{\min}(\xi \otimes \bar{\xi}) \leq 2 \log |B| - \frac{\log |B|}{|B|}$$

2) Let U be drawn from the Haar measure on $\mathcal{U}(|A| \cdot |B|)$

There exists c_0 s.t. for $c \geq c_0$, sufficiently large $|A|$,

$$\left(\frac{|B|}{|A|} = o(1) \right) \uparrow$$

$$S_{\min}(\xi) = S_{\min}(\bar{\xi}) \geq \log |B| - \frac{c}{|B|}$$

with probability $1 - o(1)$.

3) If (2) is satisfied and $\log |B| > 2c$,

$$\text{then } S_{\min}(\xi \otimes \bar{\xi}) \leq 2 \log |B| - \frac{\log |B|}{|B|}$$

$$< 2 \log |B| - \frac{2c}{|B|}$$

$$= S_{\min}(\xi) + S_{\min}(\bar{\xi})$$

"□"