



UNIVERSITY OF  
**ILLINOIS**  
URBANA - CHAMPAIGN

# **Math 595 Representation-theoretic methods in QIT**

Basics from representation theory

---

Felix Leditzky

Fall term 2025

Last update: September 30, 2025

## **Motivation: Entanglement in Werner states**

---

## Symmetries reduce complexity

**Previous section:** There are efficient ways to detect entanglement (such as PPT criterion), but these are generally only *necessary* and not sufficient (*bound entanglement*).

In general, deciding whether a state is separable is NP-hard (believed to be impossible using efficient algorithms assuming  $P \neq NP$ ).

**Central theme of this course:** Symmetries reduce complexity of an object and make it easier to study its properties!

**Example in this section:** Entanglement in Werner states.

# Werner states

*Werner states* are a class of symmetric quantum states, initially introduced to study hidden variable models [Wer89].

## Werner state

A bipartite quantum state  $\rho_{AB}$  on  $\mathbb{C}^d \otimes \mathbb{C}^d$  is called *Werner state* if

$$\rho_{AB} = (U \otimes U) \rho_{AB} (U \otimes U)^\dagger \quad \text{for all } U \in \mathcal{U}_d.$$

$\mathcal{U}_d = \{U \in \mathcal{L}(\mathbb{C}^d) : U^\dagger U = \mathbb{1}\}$  is the **group of unitaries on  $\mathbb{C}^d$** .

**Operational interpretation:** Werner states are invariant under coordinated local basis transformations on each system.

In this section, we restrict our attention to two-qubit systems with  $d = 2$ .

# Characterizing Werner states

Symmetry property of Werner states eliminates most degrees of freedom in the two-qubit state  $\rho_{AB}$ :

## Two-qubit Werner states

Every quantum state  $\rho_{AB}$  on  $\mathbb{C}^2 \otimes \mathbb{C}^2$  satisfying  $\rho_{AB} = (U \otimes U) \rho_{AB} (U \otimes U)^\dagger$  for all  $U \in \mathcal{U}_2$  can be written in terms of a single parameter  $x \in [-1, 1]$  as

$$\rho_{AB} = \frac{2-x}{6} \mathbb{1}_{AB} + \frac{2x-1}{6} \mathbb{F}_{AB}.$$

## Proof sketch.

Best proved using representation theory... (see later sections).



# Correlations in Werner states

In order to study correlations in Werner states, we want to have a means of *imposing* the  $U \otimes U$ -symmetry on an arbitrary (unsymmetric) state.

**Idea:** Average (or *twirl*) a state with respect to a uniform probability measure on  $\mathcal{U}_d$ , provided it exists!

## Haar measure on $\mathcal{U}_d$

There exists a unique probability measure  $dU$  on  $\mathcal{U}_d$  called *Haar measure* with the following properties:

- (i) Normalization:  $\int_{\mathcal{U}_d} dU = 1$ .
- (ii) Left- and right-invariance: For any function  $f$  and an arbitrary unitary  $V \in \mathcal{U}_d$ ,

$$\int_{\mathcal{U}_d} dU f(VU) = \int_{\mathcal{U}_d} dU f(UV) = \int_{\mathcal{U}_d} dU f(U).$$

**Twirling operation:**  $\mathcal{T}(X_{AB}) = \int_{\mathcal{U}_2} dU (U \otimes U) X_{AB} (U \otimes U)^\dagger$ .

For any  $X_{AB}$  the twirled operator  $\mathcal{T}(X_{AB})$  is a Werner state: For  $V \in \mathcal{U}_2$ ,

$$\begin{aligned}(V \otimes V) \mathcal{T}(X_{AB}) (V \otimes V)^\dagger &= \int_{\mathcal{U}_2} dU (V \otimes V) (U \otimes U) X_{AB} (U \otimes U)^\dagger (V \otimes V)^\dagger \\ &= \int_{\mathcal{U}_2} dU (VU \otimes VU) X_{AB} (VU \otimes VU)^\dagger \\ &= \int_{\mathcal{U}_2} dU (U \otimes U) X_{AB} (U \otimes U)^\dagger \\ &= \mathcal{T}(X_{AB}),\end{aligned}$$

where we used linearity of the integral in the first equality, and the left-invariance of the Haar measure in the third equality.

Let  $\rho_{AB}$  be a two-qubit density operator, then  $\mathcal{T}(\rho_{AB})$  is a Werner state:

$$\mathcal{T}(\rho_{AB}) = \frac{2-x}{6} \mathbb{1}_{AB} + \frac{2x-1}{6} \mathbb{F}_{AB}.$$

**Goal:** Determine  $x$ .

**First step:** Compute overlap of  $\mathcal{T}(\rho_{AB})$  with swap operator  $\mathbb{F}_{AB}$ .

$$\begin{aligned} \text{tr}[\mathbb{F}_{AB} \mathcal{T}(X_{AB})] &= \int_{\mathcal{U}_2} dU \text{tr}[\mathbb{F}_{AB} (U \otimes U) X_{AB} (U \otimes U)^\dagger] && \text{(linearity of trace)} \\ &= \int_{\mathcal{U}_2} dU \text{tr}[(U \otimes U)^\dagger \mathbb{F}_{AB} (U \otimes U) X_{AB}] && \text{(cyclicity of trace)} \\ &= \int_{\mathcal{U}_2} dU \text{tr}[\mathbb{F}_{AB} X_{AB}] && \text{(invariance of } \mathbb{F}; \text{ see next slide)} \\ &= \text{tr}[\mathbb{F}_{AB} X_{AB}]. && \text{(normalization of Haar measure)} \end{aligned}$$

We used the fact that  $\mathbb{F}_{AB}$  is invariant under the unitary  $(U \otimes U)^\dagger$ :  
For all states  $|\psi\rangle, |\phi\rangle \in \mathbb{C}^2$ , we have

$$\begin{aligned}(U \otimes U)^\dagger \mathbb{F}_{AB} (U \otimes U)(|\psi\rangle \otimes |\phi\rangle) &= (U \otimes U)^\dagger \mathbb{F}_{AB} (U|\psi\rangle \otimes U|\phi\rangle) \\ &= (U^\dagger \otimes U^\dagger)(U|\phi\rangle \otimes U|\psi\rangle) \\ &= |\phi\rangle \otimes |\psi\rangle \\ &= \mathbb{F}_{AB}(|\psi\rangle \otimes |\phi\rangle),\end{aligned}$$

and thus the invariance property follows.

**Second step:** Apply to Werner state and use linearity of trace:

$$\text{tr}[\mathbb{F}_{AB}\rho_{AB}] = \text{tr}[\mathbb{F}_{AB}\mathcal{T}(\rho_{AB})] = \frac{2-x}{6}\text{tr}\mathbb{F}_{AB} + \frac{2x-1}{6}\text{tr}\mathbb{F}_{AB}^2 = \frac{2-x}{6}2 + \frac{2x-1}{6}4 = x.$$

Note that  $\text{tr}\mathbb{F}_{AB} = 2$  (eigenvalues  $+1, +1, +1, -1$ ) and  $\text{tr}\mathbb{F}_{AB}^2 = \text{tr}\mathbb{1}_{AB} = 4$ .

# Entanglement of two-qubit Werner states

## Entanglement of two-qubit Werner states

The Werner state  $\rho_{AB} = \frac{2-x}{6} \mathbb{1}_{AB} + \frac{2x-1}{6} \mathbb{F}_{AB}$  is entangled if and only if  $x < 0$ .

### Proof.

**First step:** Use PPT criterion to show that  $\rho_{AB}$  is entangled for  $x \in [-1, 0)$ .

Recall:  $\mathbb{F}_{AB} = 2(\Phi_{AB}^+)^{T_B} \implies \mathbb{F}_{AB}^{T_B} = 2\Phi_{AB}^+$ , and  $\mathbb{1}_{AB}^{T_B} = \mathbb{1}_A \otimes \mathbb{1}_B = \mathbb{1}_{AB}$ .

Taking partial transpose of  $\rho_{AB}$  and using linearity:

$$\rho_{AB}^{T_B} = \frac{2-x}{6} \mathbb{1}_{AB}^{T_B} + \frac{2x-1}{6} \mathbb{F}_{AB}^{T_B} = \frac{2-x}{6} \mathbb{1}_{AB} + \frac{2x-1}{3} \Phi_{AB}^+ = \begin{pmatrix} z & \cdot & \cdot & \cdot \\ \cdot & y & \cdot & \cdot \\ \cdot & \cdot & y & \cdot \\ \cdot & \cdot & \cdot & y \end{pmatrix}$$

in Bell basis with eigenvalues  $y = \frac{2-x}{6}$  and  $z = \frac{2-x}{6} + \frac{2x-1}{3}$ .

We have  $z < 0$  iff  $x < 0 \implies \rho_{AB}$  is entangled in this range. □

# Entanglement of two-qubit Werner states

## Entanglement of two-qubit Werner states

The Werner state  $\rho_{AB} = \frac{2-x}{6} \mathbb{1}_{AB} + \frac{2x-1}{6} \mathbb{F}_{AB}$  is entangled if and only if  $x < 0$ .

### Proof.

**Second step:**  $\rho_{AB}$  is separable for  $x \geq 0$

For product states: Use *swap trick*  $\text{tr}[(X \otimes Y)\mathbb{F}] = \text{tr}(XY)$  (exercise!) to show that

$$\text{tr}[(\omega_A \otimes \sigma_B)\mathbb{F}_{AB}] = \text{tr}(\omega_A \sigma_B) \geq 0.$$

Generalize to separable states  $\tau_{AB} = \sum_i p_i \omega_{A,i} \otimes \sigma_{B,i} \implies \text{tr}(\mathbb{F}_{AB} \tau_{AB}) \geq 0$ .

Twirling  $\mathcal{T}(\cdot) = \int dU (U \otimes U)(\cdot)(U \otimes U)^\dagger$  maps separable states to separable states.

Thus, parameter  $x = \text{tr}(\mathbb{F}_{AB} \mathcal{T}(\tau_{AB})) = \text{tr}(\mathbb{F}_{AB} \tau_{AB}) \geq 0$  for any twirled separable state.

For fixed  $x \geq 0$  it is easy (exercise!) to construct states  $\omega_A$  and  $\sigma_B$  such that  $x = \text{tr}[\mathbb{F}_{AB} \mathcal{T}(\omega_A \otimes \sigma_B)] = \text{tr}(\omega_A \sigma_B) \implies$  claim follows! □

Assertion of the proposition (with different constants) holds for arbitrary  $d$ !

# Representations

---

## Disclaimer

Very brief introduction to group theory and representation theory!

More details can be found e.g. in [Goo14] (group theory) or [Ser77; FH13; Eti+11; Tel05] (representation theory).

## Definition (Group)

A group  $(G, \cdot)$  is a set  $G$  together with a binary operation  $\cdot : G \times G \rightarrow G$  satisfying:

- Associativity:  $a \cdot (b \cdot c) = (a \cdot b) \cdot c$  for all  $a, b, c \in G$ .
- Identity element: there exists an element  $e \in G$  with  $e \cdot g = g \cdot e = g$  for all  $g \in G$ .
- Inverse: for all  $g \in G$ , there exists  $h \in G$  with  $g \cdot h = h \cdot g = e$ . Such an element  $h$  is unique; it is called the *inverse* of  $g$ , and denoted by  $g^{-1}$ .

Note that the identity element  $e$  in a group is unique: If  $e'$  is another identity element, then  $e' = e' \cdot e = e$ , where the second equality follows since  $e$  is also an identity element.

Commutative groups ( $gh = hg$  for all  $g, h \in G$ ) are called **abelian**.

The cardinality  $|G|$  of a group is called its *order*.

## Examples of groups

- (i) Let  $V$  be a vector space with vector space addition denoted by '+'. Then  $(V, +)$  is a group.
- (ii) Let  $(\mathbb{K}, +, \cdot)$  be a field, then both  $(\mathbb{K}, +)$  and  $(\mathbb{K} \setminus \{0\}, \cdot)$  are groups.
- (iii) The set of bijections from the set  $\{1, 2, \dots, n\}$  to itself together with function composition is a group called the *symmetric group*  $S_n$ .
- (iv) The set of invertible linear maps from a vector space  $V$  to itself together with function composition forms the *general linear group*  $GL(V)$ . If  $\dim V = d$ , then this group can be identified with the group of  $(d \times d)$ -invertible matrices.
- (v) The group  $\mathcal{U}(V) = \{U \in GL(V) : U^\dagger U = \mathbb{1}\}$  is the unitary group on  $V$ . If  $\dim V = d$ , then this group can be identified with  $\mathcal{U}_d$ , the group of  $(d \times d)$ -unitary matrices.

# Group homomorphisms

Group homomorphisms are functions between groups that respect the group structure:

## Definition (Group homomorphism)

Let  $(G, \cdot)$  and  $(H, *)$  be two groups. A group homomorphism  $\varphi : G \rightarrow H$  is a function satisfying, for all  $x, y \in G$ ,

$$\varphi(x \cdot y) = \varphi(x) * \varphi(y).$$

Let  $\varphi : G \rightarrow H$  be a group homomorphism between groups  $(G, \cdot)$  and  $(H, *)$ .

- (i)  $\varphi(e_G) = e_H$ : for any  $g \in G$  we have  $\varphi(g) = \varphi(e_G \cdot g) = \varphi(e_G) * \varphi(g)$ , and the identity element in  $H$  is unique.
- (ii)  $\varphi(g^{-1}) = \varphi(g)^{-1}$ : for any  $g \in G$  we have  $e_H = \varphi(e_G) = \varphi(g \cdot g^{-1}) = \varphi(g) * \varphi(g^{-1})$ , and the inverse of  $\varphi(g)$  in  $H$  is unique.

Representation theory is the study of (abstract) groups via their linear action on (concrete) vector spaces.

## Definition (Representation of a group)

A representation  $(\varphi, V)$  of a group  $G$  on a vector space  $V$  over a field  $F$  is a group homomorphism  $\varphi : G \rightarrow GL(V)$ .

We always have  $\varphi(e) = \mathbb{1}_V$  and  $\varphi(g^{-1}) = \varphi(g)^{-1}$ .

The **dimension** or **degree** of a representation  $(\varphi, V)$  is the dimension of  $V$ .

Representation  $(\varphi, V)$  is called **unitary**, if  $\varphi(G) \leq \mathcal{U}(V)$  (every representation operator  $\varphi(g)$  is unitary).

Representations of finite groups (and *compact* groups, see later) over  $\mathbb{C}$  can always be chosen unitary.

## Examples of representations

- (i) Let  $V$  be any vector space, and let  $G$  be an arbitrary group. Setting  $\varphi(g) = \mathbb{1}_V$  for all  $g \in G$  defines the **trivial representation**.
- (ii) Let  $G$  be a cyclic group of order  $d$  generated by  $g$ . Let  $V = \mathbb{C}^d$  with basis  $|0\rangle, |1\rangle, \dots, |d-1\rangle$ . Consider a linear operator  $X$  on  $V$  defined by  $X|i\rangle = |i+1 \bmod d\rangle$  for all  $i$ . Then the map  $g \mapsto X$  determines a representation  $(\varphi, V)$  of  $G$ .
- (iii) Another representation  $(\varphi', V)$  of  $G$  is defined by the map  $g \mapsto Z$ , where  $Z|j\rangle = \omega^j|j\rangle$  for a primitive  $d$ -th root of unity  $\omega$ .

The two representations in (ii) and (iii) are essentially the same:

### Definition (Equivalent representations)

Let  $G$  be a group. Two representations  $(\varphi, V)$  and  $(\varphi', V')$  of  $G$  are said to be *isomorphic* or *equivalent* if there exists an isomorphism  $f: V \rightarrow V'$  such that for all  $g \in G$

$$\varphi'(g) = f \cdot \varphi(g) \cdot f^{-1}.$$

Shift matrix  $X: |i\rangle \mapsto |[i-1] \bmod d\rangle$  has eigenvalues  $e^{2\pi i k/d}$  for  $0 \leq k \leq d-1$ .  
Diagonalizing unitary  $U$  satisfies  $\varphi' = U \cdot \varphi \cdot U^\dagger$ .

### Representations that can be defined for any finite group $G$ :

- (i) **Trivial representation:**  $g \mapsto \mathbb{1}_V$  for some vector space  $V$ .
- (ii) **Regular representation:** Let  $n = |G|$  and  $V \cong \mathbb{C}^n$  with basis  $\{|g\rangle\}_{g \in G}$ .  
Linear extension of the map  $\varphi(g): |h\rangle \mapsto |gh\rangle$  is called the *regular representation*.  
If  $(\psi, W)$  is any representation such that there exists  $w \in W$  so that  $\{\psi(g)(w)\}_{g \in G}$  is a basis of  $W$ , then  $\psi$  is isomorphic to the regular representation (exercise).
- (iii) **Permutation representation:** Let  $X$  be a finite set and  $G$  be a group acting on  $X$ .  
Consider free vector space generated by  $X$ :  $V \cong \mathbb{C}^m$  with  $m = |X|$  and basis  $\{|x\rangle\}_{x \in X}$ .  
Linear extension of the map  $\varphi(g): |x\rangle \mapsto |gx\rangle$  defines the *permutation representation* of  $G$ .

Note that the regular representation of  $G$  is the permutation representation of  $G$  that results from  $G$  acting on itself by left multiplication.

# **Irreducible representations and decompositions**

---

# Invariant subspaces

Crucial concept in representation theory: decompose a representation into 'building blocks' (later called irreducible representations).

## Invariant subspaces and subrepresentations

Let  $(\varphi, V)$  be a representation of a group  $G$ . A subspace  $W \subset V$  is called *G-invariant* if

$$\varphi(g)|w\rangle \in W \quad \text{for all } |w\rangle \in W \text{ and } g \in G.$$

The restriction  $\varphi|_W$  of  $\varphi$  onto  $W$  is called a *subrepresentation*.

Note that  $\{0\}$  and  $V$  are always invariant subspaces of any representation.

## Example: Trivial representation inside regular representation

Let  $G$  be a finite group with  $n = |G|$  and  $(\varphi, \mathbb{C}^n)$  be the regular representation. Define

$$W = \text{span} \left( \sum_{g \in G} |g\rangle \right).$$

Then  $(\varphi|_W, W)$  is a subrepresentation of  $(\varphi, \mathbb{C}^n)$  equivalent to the trivial representation.

## Invariant subspaces

Let  $(\psi, V)$  be a representation of a finite group  $G$  of degree  $m = \dim V$ , and let  $W \leq V$  be a  $G$ -invariant subspace of dimension  $k = \dim W$ .

Choose a basis  $\{w_1, \dots, w_k, w_{k+1}, \dots, w_m\}$  for  $V$  such that  $W = \text{span}(w_1, \dots, w_k)$ , and set  $W' = \text{span}(w_{k+1}, \dots, w_m)$  so that  $V = W \oplus W'$ .

Then every  $\psi(g)$  has the following representation matrix with respect to this basis:

$$\psi(g) = \left( \begin{array}{c|c} W & W' \\ \hline \psi(g)|_W & * \\ 0 & * \end{array} \right) \begin{array}{l} W \\ W' \end{array}$$

We will see later that there always exist a basis for which the top-right block is also zero.

# Irreducible representations

For irreducible representations (or *irreps*),  $\{0\}$  and  $V$  are the only invariant subspaces:

## Irreducible representation

A representation  $(\varphi, V)$  of a group  $G$  is called *irreducible* if  $\{0\}$  and  $V$  are the only  $G$ -invariant subspaces of  $V$ .

A one-dimensional representation is always irreducible, since one-dimensional vector spaces have no non-trivial subspaces.

**Example:** one-dimensional subrepresentation  $W = \text{span}(\sum_{g \in G} |g\rangle)$  of the regular representation is irreducible.

A major goal of representation theory is to find all irreducible representations of a group  $G$  over a given field  $\mathbb{F}$ . This is always possible over  $\mathbb{C}$ , and can be done efficiently [BR90].

# Direct sums of representations

## Definition

Let  $(\varphi_1, V_1)$  and  $(\varphi_2, V_2)$  be representations of a group  $G$ . Then the vector space direct sum  $V_1 \oplus V_2$  affords the of  $G$ -representation

$$[(\varphi_1 \oplus \varphi_2)(g)](v_1 \oplus v_2) := [\varphi_1(g)](v_1) \oplus [\varphi_2(g)](v_2).$$

This is called the *direct sum* of the representations  $(\varphi_1, V_1)$  and  $(\varphi_2, V_2)$ .

The direct sum construction allows us to decompose representations. In finite dimensions this process necessarily terminates.

## Invariant complements

Let  $(\varphi, V)$  be a representation of a finite group  $G$  for which  $V$  is a vector space over a field whose characteristic does not divide the order of  $G$ . Then every  $G$ -invariant subspace  $W$  has a  $G$ -invariant complement  $W'$ , i.e.,  $V = W \oplus W'$  (as vector spaces and as representations).

## Invariant complements

Let  $(\varphi, V)$  be a representation of a finite group  $G$  for which  $V$  is a vector space over a field whose characteristic does not divide the order of  $G$ . Then every  $G$ -invariant subspace  $W$  has a  $G$ -invariant complement  $W'$ , i.e.,  $V = W \oplus W'$  (as vector spaces and as representations).

## Proof sketch.

Let  $P_W$  be the projection onto  $W$  and define

$$Q_W = \frac{1}{|G|} \sum_{g \in G} \varphi(g) P_W \varphi(g)^{-1}.$$

Then one can check that  $\text{im} Q_W = W$  and  $\varphi(g) Q_W = Q_W \varphi(g)$  for all  $g \in G$ . It then follows that  $W' := \ker Q_W$  is the desired  $G$ -invariant complement (exercise!).  $\square$

# Decomposing representations

There's an alternative proof for representations over  $\mathbb{C}$  that constructs the  $G$ -invariant complement by redefining the Hilbert space structure:

## Alternative proof using Weyl's unitarity trick.

Let  $(\varphi, V)$  be a representation over  $\mathbb{C}$  and let  $\langle \cdot | \cdot \rangle: V \times V \rightarrow \mathbb{C}$  be an inner product on  $V$ . Define a new inner product by

$$\langle v | w \rangle_G := \frac{1}{|G|} \sum_{g \in G} \langle \varphi(g)v | \varphi(g)w \rangle.$$

Then for every  $G$ -invariant subspace  $W$  the orthogonal complement  $W^\perp$  taken w.r.t.  $\langle \cdot | \cdot \rangle_G$  is  $G$ -invariant as well, and  $V = W \oplus W^\perp$  as representations. Moreover,  $(\varphi, V)$  is a *unitary representation* w.r.t  $\langle \cdot | \cdot \rangle_G$ , that is,  $\varphi(G) \subset U(V)$  and  $\varphi(g^{-1}) = \varphi(g)^{-1} = \varphi(g)^\dagger$ .

For general unitary representations  $(\varphi, V)$  and an invariant subspace  $W \subset V$ , the orthogonal complement  $W^\perp$  is again invariant. □

# Decomposing representations

## Definition

A representation is called *completely reducible* if it is equivalent to a direct sum of irreducible representations.

## Maschke's theorem

Every finite-dimensional representation of a finite group  $G$  over a field with characteristic not dividing  $|G|$  is completely reducible.

## Proof.

Use induction on  $\dim V$  and the preceding proposition. □

Maschke's theorem states that for a finite group  $G$  and a finite-dimensional representation  $V$  of  $G$  over  $\mathbb{C}$  we can always write  $V = V_1 \oplus \dots \oplus V_m$  with each  $V_i$  irreducible. Is this decomposition unique?

The following result called **Schur's lemma** helps us answer this question. It is a basic observation, but incredibly useful in representation theory and its applications.

## Schur's lemma

Let  $(\varphi_1, V_1)$  and  $(\varphi_2, V_2)$  be irreducible representations of a group  $G$ , and let  $f: V_1 \rightarrow V_2$  be a  $G$ -equivariant linear map, that is,  $f \cdot \varphi_1(g) = \varphi_2(g) \cdot f$  for all  $g \in G$ . Then the following hold:

- (i) Either  $f$  is invertible (and hence  $V_1 \cong V_2$ ) or  $f = 0$ .
- (ii) If  $V_1 = V_2$  is finite-dimensional over an algebraically closed field  $\mathbb{F}$  (for example  $\mathbb{F} = \mathbb{C}$ ), then  $f = \lambda \mathbb{1}_{V_1}$  for some  $\lambda \in \mathbb{F}$ .

## Proof.

(i) Suppose  $f \neq 0$ . Then  $\ker f \neq V_1$  is a  $G$ -invariant subspace of  $V_1$  (see exercises), so  $\ker f = \{0\}$  by irreducibility of  $V_1$ . Likewise,  $\operatorname{im} f \neq \{0\}$  is a  $G$ -invariant subspace of  $V_2$  (see exercises), so  $\operatorname{im} f = V_2$  by irreducibility of  $V_2$ . This proves that  $f$  is invertible.

(ii)  $\mathbb{F}$  being algebraically closed guarantees that the linear map  $f$  has an eigenvalue, say  $\lambda \in \mathbb{F}$ . The map  $f' = f - \lambda \mathbb{1}_{V_1}$  is  $G$ -equivariant, and it is not invertible since its kernel has a non-zero eigenvector of  $f$ . By (i), it follows that  $f' = 0$ , and so  $f = \lambda \mathbb{1}_{V_1}$ .  $\square$

# Applications of Schur's lemma

A consequence of Schur's lemma is the following useful result.

## Irreps of abelian groups are 1-dimensional

Let  $G$  be an abelian group. Then any complex irreducible representation of  $G$  is one-dimensional.

### Proof.

Let  $(\varphi, V)$  be an irreducible representation of  $G$ . Since  $G$  is abelian, we have

$$\varphi(g)\varphi(h) = \varphi(gh) = \varphi(hg) = \varphi(h)\varphi(g)$$

for all  $g, h \in G$ . It follows from Schur's Lemma that  $\varphi(g) = \lambda_g \mathbb{1}_V$  for every  $g \in G$ , and so  $\varphi(g)v = \lambda_g v$  for all  $v \in V$ , that is, every non-zero  $v \in V$  spans a one-dimensional  $G$ -invariant subspace of  $V$ . Since  $\varphi$  is irreducible,  $V$  must be one-dimensional itself.  $\square$

# Isotypical decomposition

Schur's lemma lets us decompose a representation into groups of inequivalent irreps:

## Definition (Isotypical decomposition)

Let  $(\varphi, V)$  be a finite-dimensional representation of a finite group  $G$  over  $\mathbb{C}$ . Consider a decomposition  $V \cong \bigoplus_k V_k$  and  $\varphi \cong \bigoplus_k \varphi_k$  with the following properties:

- Each  $(\varphi_k, V_k)$  is the direct sum of  $n_k$  copies of an irrep  $(\psi_k, W_k)$  of  $G$ :

$$V_k = W_k \oplus \dots \oplus W_k = W_k^{\oplus n_k} \cong W_k \otimes \mathbb{C}^{n_k}$$

$$\varphi_k = \psi_k \oplus \dots \oplus \psi_k = \psi_k \otimes \text{id}_{\mathbb{C}^{n_k}}.$$

- The different  $W_k$  are inequivalent, that is,  $W_k \not\cong W_{k'}$  for  $k \neq k'$ .

Then  $V_k$  is called an *isotypical component*, and

$$V = \bigoplus_k V_k = \bigoplus_k W_k^{\oplus n_k} = \bigoplus_k W_k \otimes \mathbb{C}^{n_k}$$

(with  $\varphi = \bigoplus_k \varphi_k$ ) is called *isotypical decomposition*.

# Isotypical decomposition

An application of Schur's lemma shows (see [Ser77; Tel05] for a proof):

## Uniqueness of isotypical decomposition

The decomposition  $V = \bigoplus_k V_k$  of a representation  $V$  into isotypical components  $V_k$  exists by Maschke's theorem and is unique, and so are the multiplicities  $n_k$  of  $W_k$  in  $V_k$ .

Another application of Schur's Lemma: symmetrizing an arbitrary operator.

## Symmetrizing a representation

Let  $(\varphi, V)$  be a representation of a finite group  $G$  with isotypical decomposition

$$V = \bigoplus_k W_k \otimes \mathbb{C}^{n_k}.$$

Then for an arbitrary operator  $X \in \mathcal{L}(V)$ , we have

$$\frac{1}{|G|} \sum_{g \in G} \varphi(g) X \varphi(g)^{-1} = \bigoplus_k \mathbb{1}_{W_k} \otimes X_k,$$

where the  $X_k \in \mathcal{L}(\mathbb{C}^{n_k})$  are suitable operators acting on the multiplicity spaces  $\mathbb{C}^{n_k}$ .

## **Applications of Schur's Lemma**

---

# Symmetrizing with respect to an irrep

## Pauli group

Let  $\rho$  be a qubit density matrix. Then

$$\frac{1}{4}(\rho + X\rho X + Y\rho Y + Z\rho Z) = \frac{1}{2}\mathbb{1}.$$

Check explicitly **or better**, check that Pauli matrices  $\mathbb{1}, X, Y, Z$  generate an irreducible representation of the Pauli group, and use Schur's Lemma (exercise!).

## Full unitary group

Same idea for the full unitary group:  $\int_{\mathcal{U}_2} dU U\rho U^\dagger = \frac{1}{2}\mathbb{1}$ .

## 1-designs

For every (unitary) irrep  $(\varphi, \mathbb{C}^d)$  of a finite group  $G$ ,

$$\frac{1}{|G|} \sum_{g \in G} \varphi(g) X \varphi(g)^\dagger = \int_{\mathcal{U}_d} dU U X U^\dagger = \frac{\text{tr}(X)}{d} \mathbb{1}.$$

## Natural permutation representation

Fix an orthonormal basis  $\{|1\rangle, \dots, |n\rangle\}$  of  $\mathbb{C}^n$ .

Let  $S_n$  act by permuting basis vectors:

$$\varphi: S_n \rightarrow GL(\mathbb{C}^n), \quad \pi \mapsto (\varphi(\pi): |i\rangle \mapsto |\pi(i)\rangle).$$

Representation matrices  $\varphi(\pi)$  are **unitary permutation matrices**.

Vector  $|v\rangle = \sum_{i=1}^n |i\rangle$  spans 1-dimensional subspace  $W_{\text{triv}} \leq \mathbb{C}^n$  on which  $S_n$  acts **trivially**:

$$\varphi(\pi)|v\rangle = |v\rangle \quad \text{for every } \pi \in S_n.$$

$W_{\text{triv}}$  is an irrep, and the permutation representation decomposes as:

$$\mathbb{C}^n \cong W_{\text{triv}} \oplus W_{\text{st}}$$

$$\varphi \cong \varphi_{\text{triv}} \oplus \varphi_{\text{st}}.$$

How to determine  $W_{\text{st}}$ ?

# Determining invariant complement

Let  $P_{W_{\text{triv}}} = |v\rangle\langle v|$  be the (orthogonal) projection onto  $W_{\text{triv}}$ .

Since  $|v\rangle$  is  $S_n$ -invariant, this is already an  $S_n$ -invariant projection:

$$\varphi(\pi)P_{W_{\text{triv}}}\varphi(\pi)^\dagger = P_{W_{\text{triv}}} \quad \text{for all } \pi \in S_n.$$

## Invariant complement

We define  $W_{\text{st}} := \ker P_{W_{\text{triv}}} = (P_{W_{\text{triv}}})^\perp$ , which is given by

$$W_{\text{st}} = \left\{ \sum_{i=1}^n x_i |i\rangle : x_1 + \dots + x_n = 0 \right\}.$$

## Standard representation

$W_{\text{st}}$  is called the standard representation of  $S_n$ . It is an irreducible representation of degree  $\dim W_{\text{st}} = n - 1$ .

Proof: Exercise.

## The case $n = 2$

$S_2 = \{(), (12)\}$  with representation matrices

$$\varphi(()) = \begin{pmatrix} 1 & 0 \\ 0 & 1 \end{pmatrix}$$

$$\varphi((12)) = \begin{pmatrix} 0 & 1 \\ 1 & 0 \end{pmatrix}.$$

Decomposition of representation spaces:

$$V \cong W_{\text{triv}} \oplus W_{\text{st}}$$

where  $W_{\text{triv}} = \text{span}(|1\rangle + |2\rangle)$

$$W_{\text{st}} = \text{span}(|1\rangle - |2\rangle).$$

Transposition  $(12)$  acts on  $W_{\text{st}}$  by multiplying with  $\text{sgn}((12)) = -1$ :

$$\varphi((12))(|1\rangle - |2\rangle) = -(|1\rangle - |2\rangle).$$

Decomposition of representations:

$$\varphi(()) \cong \left( \begin{array}{c|c} 1 & 0 \\ \hline 0 & 1 \end{array} \right)$$

$$\varphi((12)) \cong \left( \begin{array}{c|c} 1 & 0 \\ \hline 0 & -1 \end{array} \right)$$

## Symmetrizing operators

Decomposition  $V \cong W_{\text{triv}} \oplus W_{\text{st}}$  and  $\varphi(\cdot) \cong \left( \begin{array}{c|c} 1 & 0 \\ \hline 0 & 1 \end{array} \right)$ ,  $\varphi((12)) \cong \left( \begin{array}{c|c} 1 & 0 \\ \hline 0 & -1 \end{array} \right)$ .

In the basis  $\frac{1}{\sqrt{2}}(|1\rangle + |2\rangle)$ ,  $\frac{1}{\sqrt{2}}(|1\rangle - |2\rangle)$ , an arbitrary operator  $O \in \mathcal{L}(\mathbb{C}^2)$  can be written as

$$O = \left( \begin{array}{c|c} O_{W_{\text{triv}} \rightarrow W_{\text{triv}}} & O_{W_{\text{st}} \rightarrow W_{\text{triv}}} \\ \hline O_{W_{\text{triv}} \rightarrow W_{\text{st}}} & O_{W_{\text{st}} \rightarrow W_{\text{st}}} \end{array} \right).$$

Symmetrizing an operator  $X = \begin{pmatrix} a & b \\ c & d \end{pmatrix}$  gives:

$$\begin{aligned} \bar{X} &= \frac{1}{2} (X + \varphi((12))X\varphi((12))^\dagger) = \frac{1}{2} \begin{pmatrix} a+d & b+c \\ b+c & a+d \end{pmatrix} \cong \frac{1}{2} \left( \begin{array}{c|c} a+b+c+d & 0 \\ \hline 0 & a-b-c+d \end{array} \right) \\ &= \frac{1}{2} \left( \begin{array}{c|c} (a+b+c+d)\mathbb{1}_{W_{\text{triv}}} & 0 \\ \hline 0 & (a-b-c+d)\mathbb{1}_{W_{\text{st}}} \end{array} \right). \end{aligned}$$

## The case $n = 3$

We choose the orthonormal basis

$$|v_1\rangle = \frac{1}{\sqrt{3}}(|1\rangle + |2\rangle + |3\rangle) \quad |v_2\rangle = \frac{1}{\sqrt{6}}(2|1\rangle - |2\rangle - |3\rangle) \quad |v_3\rangle = \frac{1}{\sqrt{2}}(|2\rangle - |3\rangle),$$

so that  $W_{\text{triv}} = \text{span}(|v_1\rangle)$  and  $W_{\text{st}} = \text{span}(|v_2\rangle, |v_3\rangle)$ .

Symmetrization of an arbitrary operator  $X$ :

$$\begin{aligned} \bar{X} &= \frac{1}{3!} \sum_{\pi \in S_3} \varphi(\pi) X \varphi(\pi)^\dagger = \begin{pmatrix} z & y & y \\ y & z & y \\ y & y & z \end{pmatrix} \cong \begin{pmatrix} z+2y & 0 & 0 \\ 0 & z-y & 0 \\ 0 & 0 & z-y \end{pmatrix} \\ &= \left( \begin{array}{c|cc} (z+2y)\mathbb{1}_{W_{\text{triv}}} & 0 & 0 \\ \hline 0 & & (z-y)\mathbb{1}_{W_{\text{st}}} \\ 0 & & \end{array} \right) \\ &= \left( \begin{array}{c|c} \bar{X}_{W_{\text{triv}} \rightarrow W_{\text{triv}}} & \bar{X}_{W_{\text{st}} \rightarrow W_{\text{triv}}} \\ \hline \bar{X}_{W_{\text{triv}} \rightarrow W_{\text{st}}} & \bar{X}_{W_{\text{st}} \rightarrow W_{\text{st}}} \end{array} \right). \end{aligned}$$

## Limitations of Schur's Lemma: Multiplicities

Recall tensor representation of  $S_2$  on  $\mathbb{C}^2 \otimes \mathbb{C}^2$  (where  $F \equiv \varphi((12))$ ):

$$F(|i\rangle \otimes |j\rangle) = |j\rangle \otimes |i\rangle.$$

Orthonormal eigenbasis of  $F$  is given by the four Bell states:

$$\begin{aligned} |\Phi^+\rangle &= \frac{1}{\sqrt{2}}(|00\rangle + |11\rangle) & |\Psi^+\rangle &= \frac{1}{\sqrt{2}}(|01\rangle + |10\rangle) \\ |\Phi^-\rangle &= \frac{1}{\sqrt{2}}(|00\rangle - |11\rangle) & |\Psi^-\rangle &= \frac{1}{\sqrt{2}}(|01\rangle - |10\rangle). \end{aligned}$$

These span the following irreducible representations:

$$\begin{aligned} W_{\text{triv}} &\cong \text{span}(|\Phi^+\rangle) \cong \text{span}(|\Phi^-\rangle) \cong \text{span}(|\Psi^+\rangle) \\ W_{\text{sgn}} &\cong \text{span}(|\Psi^-\rangle). \end{aligned}$$

**Isotypical decomposition:**

$$\mathbb{C}^2 \otimes \mathbb{C}^2 \cong V_{\text{triv}} \oplus V_{\text{sgn}} \quad \text{where} \quad V_{\text{triv}} = W_{\text{triv}} \oplus W_{\text{triv}} \oplus W_{\text{triv}} \cong W_{\text{triv}} \otimes \mathbb{C}^3$$
$$V_{\text{sgn}} \cong W_{\text{sgn}}.$$

## Limitations of Schur's Lemma: Multiplicities

Symmetrizing an operator with respect to tensor representation:

$$\begin{aligned}\bar{X} &= \frac{1}{2}(X + \mathbb{F}X\mathbb{F}^\dagger) \cong \left( \begin{array}{c|c} \bar{X}_{V_{\text{triv}} \rightarrow V_{\text{triv}}} & 0 \\ \hline 0 & \chi_{\text{sgn}} \mathbb{1}_{W_{\text{sgn}}} \end{array} \right) \\ &\cong \left( \mathbb{1}_{W_{\text{triv}}} \otimes X_{\text{triv}} \right) \oplus \chi_{\text{sgn}} \mathbb{1}_{W_{\text{sgn}}}.\end{aligned}$$

Note that  $\dim W_{\text{triv}} = 1$  and hence  $\mathbb{1}_{W_{\text{triv}}} = 1$ .

In the above, the top-left block of the symmetrized operator is not proportional to the identity, since it is not just a map from an irrep into itself.

# Tensor and dual representations, hom spaces

---

# Tensor representation

We now discuss how to produce new representations from given ones.

## Definition

Let  $(\varphi, V)$  and  $(\psi, W)$  be representations of a group  $G$ . Then  $(\varphi \otimes \psi)(g) := \varphi(g) \otimes \psi(g)$  defines a representation on  $V \otimes W$  called the *tensor representation*.

$V \otimes W$  is in general *reducible*, even for irreducible  $V, W$ :

## Symmetric and antisymmetric square

Let  $(\varphi, V)$  be a representation of a group  $G$  and consider the tensor representation  $(\varphi \otimes, \varphi, V \otimes V)$ . The swap operator  $\mathbb{F}$  commutes with the action of  $\varphi \otimes \varphi$ , and we thus have the decomposition  $V \otimes V = \text{Sym}^2(V) \oplus \Lambda^2(V)$ , where

$$\text{Sym}^2(V) := \{|z\rangle \in V \otimes V : \mathbb{F}|z\rangle = |z\rangle\}$$

$$\Lambda^2(V) := \{|z\rangle \in V \otimes V : \mathbb{F}|z\rangle = -|z\rangle\}.$$

## Symmetric and antisymmetric square

If  $\dim V = d$  and  $\{|e_i\rangle\}_{i=1}^d$  is a basis for  $V$ , then these two subspaces can be constructed as

$$\text{Sym}^2(V) = \text{span}\{|e_i\rangle \otimes |e_j\rangle + |e_j\rangle \otimes |e_i\rangle : 1 \leq i \leq j \leq d\}$$

$$\Lambda^2(V) = \text{span}\{|e_i\rangle \otimes |e_j\rangle - |e_j\rangle \otimes |e_i\rangle : 1 \leq i < j \leq d\}.$$

In fact, these two sets of spanning vectors form bases for  $\text{Sym}^2(V)$  and  $\Lambda^2(V)$ , and hence

$$\dim(\text{Sym}^2(V)) = \frac{d(d+1)}{2}$$

$$\dim(\Lambda^2(V)) = \frac{d(d-1)}{2}.$$

$\text{Sym}^2(V)$  and  $\Lambda^2(V)$  are both  $G$ -invariant subspaces, and thus representations of  $G$ , called the *symmetric* and *antisymmetric square*, respectively.

Setting  $V = \mathbb{C}^2$ , this construction gives the symmetric and antisymmetric subspaces.

This example shows that  $V \otimes V$  is reducible whenever  $V$  has degree at least 2.

# Dual and hom-space representations

## Definition

Let  $(\varphi, V)$  be a representation of  $G$ . Let  $V^*$  be the dual space of  $V$  consisting of the vector space of linear maps from  $V$  to  $\mathbb{C}$ . The *dual* representation  $(\varphi^*, V^*)$  is defined as

$$\varphi^*(g)(L) := L \circ \varphi(g)^{-1} \text{ for } g \in G \text{ and } L \in V^*.$$

The dual representation satisfies for all  $g \in G$ ,  $|v\rangle \in V$ , and  $\langle w| \in V^*$  that  $\varphi^*(g) = \varphi(g^{-1})^T$ .

Moreover,  $(\varphi^*, V^*)$  is irreducible iff  $(\varphi, V)$  is.

If  $(\varphi, V)$  is unitary, then  $\varphi^*(g) = \overline{\varphi(g)}$ , that is,  $\varphi^*(g)$  is the complex conjugate of  $\varphi(g)$ .

## Definition (Hom-space representation)

Let  $(\varphi, V)$  and  $(\psi, W)$  be two representations of a group  $G$ . Then  $G$  acts on  $\text{Hom}(V, W)$  by sending  $f: V \rightarrow W$  to  $\psi(g) \circ f \circ \varphi(g)^{-1}$ , which turns  $\text{Hom}(V, W)$  into a representation of  $G$ .

Note that setting  $W = \mathbb{C}$  and  $\psi$  the trivial representation of  $G$  in the definition above recovers the dual representation of  $G$ .

## Properties of the hom-space representation

1.  $\text{Hom}(V, W) \cong V^* \otimes W$  as vector spaces and representations (exercise).
2. The set of vectors in  $V$  invariant under the action of  $G$  is denoted as

$$V^G := \{|v\rangle \in V : \varphi(g)|v\rangle = |v\rangle \text{ for all } g \in G\}.$$

With this notation we have  $\text{Hom}_G(V, W) := \text{Hom}(V, W)^G = (V^* \otimes W)^G$ .

An element  $f: V \rightarrow W$  of  $\text{Hom}_G(V, W)$  is called an *intertwiner* of the representations  $(\varphi, V)$  and  $(\psi, W)$ , satisfying  $f \cdot \varphi(g) = \psi(g) \cdot f$  for all  $g \in G$ .

3. If  $V = \bigoplus_i V_i$  is an isotypical decomposition of a representation  $V$  of  $G$  with components  $V_i = W_i^{n_i}$  for pairwise inequivalent irreducible representations  $W_i$  of  $G$ , then

$$n_i = \dim \text{Hom}_G(W_i, V) = \dim(W_i^* \otimes V)^G.$$

See [Ser77, Ex. 2.8] for a proof.

# **Group algebra and characters**

---

# Group algebra

**Regular representation**  $(\varphi_R, V)$  of a group  $G$ : vector space  $V$  generated by  $\{|g\rangle\}_{g \in G}$  together with group action  $\varphi_R(g)|h\rangle = |gh\rangle$ .

The group multiplication endows  $V$  with the structure of an **algebra**:

$$\left[ \sum_{g \in G} c_g |g\rangle \right] \cdot \left[ \sum_{h \in G} d_h |h\rangle \right] = \sum_{g, h \in G} c_g d_h |gh\rangle = \sum_{g \in G} f_g |g\rangle \quad \text{with} \quad f_g = \sum_{h \in G} c_{gh^{-1}} d_h.$$

This multiplication on  $V$  is associative, has the group identity element  $e$  as the multiplicative identity, and satisfies distributivity over addition.

## Group algebra

$\mathbb{C}[G] := (V, +, \cdot)$  is called the *group algebra*.

# Class functions and characters

Elements  $\sum_{g \in G} c_g |g\rangle$  of  $\mathbb{C}[G]$  can be understood as functions  $f: G \rightarrow \mathbb{C}$  via  $g \mapsto c_g$

A function  $f: G \rightarrow \mathbb{C}$  is called a *class function* if it is constant on conjugacy classes of  $G$ :

$$f(g) = f(hgh^{-1}) \quad \text{for all } g, h \in G.$$

## Character of a representation

Let  $(\varphi, V)$  be a representation of  $G$ . The *character*  $\chi = \chi_V$  of  $(\varphi, V)$  is the class function defined by  $\chi(g) = \text{tr}(\varphi(g))$ .

A character is indeed a class function: for all  $g, h \in G$ ,

$$\begin{aligned} \chi(hgh^{-1}) &= \text{tr}[\varphi(hgh^{-1})] \\ &= \text{tr}[\varphi(h)\varphi(g)\varphi(h)^{-1}] && \text{since } \varphi(xy) = \varphi(x)\varphi(y) \\ &= \text{tr}[\varphi(g)] = \chi(g) && \text{by cyclicity of the trace.} \end{aligned}$$

## Properties of characters

Let  $(\varphi, V)$  and  $(\psi, W)$  be representations of a group  $G$  with identity element  $e$ , and denote by  $\chi_V$  and  $\chi_W$  the associated characters.

- (i)  $\chi_V(e) = \text{tr}(\mathbb{1}_V) = \dim V$  is the degree of the representation  $(\varphi, V)$ .
- (ii) If  $(\varphi, V)$  is unitary, then  $\chi(g^{-1}) = \overline{\chi(g)}$ .
- (iii)  $\chi_{V \oplus W} = \chi_V + \chi_W$ .
- (iv)  $\chi_{V \otimes W} = \chi_V \chi_W$ .

The group algebra  $\mathbb{C}[G]$  has a natural inner product structure: For  $x = \sum_{g \in G} x_g |g\rangle$  and  $y = \sum_{g \in G} y_g |g\rangle$  in  $\mathbb{C}[G]$ , we define

$$(x, y) := \frac{1}{|G|} \sum_{g \in G} \overline{x_g} y_g.$$

## Orthogonality of characters

Let  $W_i$  for  $i = 1, \dots, k$  be pairwise inequivalent irreducible representations of a group  $G$ , and denote by  $\chi_i$  the corresponding characters. Then  $(\chi_i, \chi_j) = \delta_{ij}$ , and  $\{\chi_i\}_{i=1}^k$  is an orthonormal basis of the set of class functions.

Proof in [Ser77; Tel05].

## Properties of characters

Let  $(\varphi, V)$  be an arbitrary representation of a group  $G$ , and let  $(\psi, W)$  be an irreducible representation of  $G$ .

- (i) The multiplicity of  $W$  in the isotypical decomposition  $V$  is  $(\chi_V, \chi_W)$ .
- (ii)  $V$  is irreducible iff  $(\chi_V, \chi_V) = 1$ .
- (iii) Two representations are isomorphic iff they have the same character.
- (iv) The number of distinct (i.e., pairwise inequivalent) irreducible representations of a finite group  $G$  is equal to the number of conjugacy classes of  $G$ .

## More character theory

- (i) The multiplicity of any irreducible representation in the regular representation of a group  $G$  is equal to its dimension.
- (ii) Let  $W_1, \dots, W_k$  be a complete list of irreducible representations of  $G$ . Then every  $W_i$  appears in the regular representation, and  $\sum_{i=1}^k (\dim W_i)^2 = |G|$ .

### Projection formula

Let  $(\varphi, V)$  be a representation of  $G$ , and let  $W$  be a fixed irreducible representation of  $G$  with character  $\chi_W$ . Then the projection onto the isotypical component of  $W$  in  $V$  is given by the formula

$$P_W = \frac{\dim W}{|G|} \sum_{g \in G} \overline{\chi_W(g)} \varphi(g).$$

In particular, let  $\chi_{\text{triv}} : g \mapsto 1$  for all  $g \in G$  be the character of the trivial representation. Then  $P = \frac{1}{|G|} \sum_{g \in G} \varphi(g)$  projects onto  $V^G = \{ |v\rangle \in V : \varphi(g)|v\rangle = |v\rangle \text{ for all } g \in G \}$  consisting of  $(\chi_{\text{triv}}, \chi_V)$ -many copies of the trivial representation.

# Finite and compact groups

---

# Topological groups

**Goal:** Extend some of the results for representations of finite groups (decompositions, character theory) to certain infinite groups that “behave” like finite groups.

## Definition

A *topological group* is a group  $G$  endowed with a topology such that group multiplication and inversion are continuous. A *compact group* is a topological group that is compact, that is, every open cover of  $G$  has a finite subcover. Closed subgroups of a compact group are also compact groups.

## Definition

A *representation*  $(\varphi, V)$  of a topological group  $G$  on a normed, finite-dimensional vector space  $V$  is a continuous group homomorphism  $\varphi : G \rightarrow \text{GL}(V)$ .

# Haar measure

Crucial ingredient for representation theory of finite groups so far:

$$\text{averaging operation} \quad \frac{1}{|G|} \sum_{g \in G} f(g).$$

This can be understood as integrating  $f$  against the uniform “point measure”  $\frac{1}{|G|} \delta_g$ .

For **compact groups** a (unique) uniform probability measure also exists:

## Haar measure

Let  $G$  be a compact group. There exists a unique measure  $dg$  on  $G$ , called the *Haar measure*, satisfying the following properties:

1. Invariance: for every continuous function  $f : G \rightarrow \mathbb{C}$  and every  $h \in G$ ,

$$\int_G f(g) dg = \int_G f(gh) dg = \int_G f(hg) dg.$$

2. Normalization:  $\int_G 1 dg = 1$ .

## Finite groups are compact

Every finite group with the discrete topology is a compact group. In this setting, the Haar measure is equal to the counting measure, and we have  $\int_G dg \approx \frac{1}{|G|} \sum_{g \in G}$ .

## Haar measure on $U_1$

The circle group  $\mathcal{U}_1 = \mathbb{T} = \{z \in \mathbb{C} : |z| = 1\} = \{\exp(i\theta) : \theta \in [0, 2\pi)\}$  has Haar measure  $dg = \frac{1}{2\pi} d\theta$ .

General formulas for Haar integration are typically cumbersome:

*“It is not practical to give an explicit formula for integrating a general function on a group such as  $\mathcal{U}_n$ , for there are no convenient coordinates to use.”*

— G. Segal, [CSM95, Lie Groups, Ch. 7]

In applications, one typically avoids explicit calculations involving Haar integrals, and instead uses the invariance property together with Schur’s Lemma.

# Representation theory of compact groups

Using the Haar measure, one can prove analogous statements about finite-dimensional representations of compact groups, e.g.:

1. Every  $G$ -invariant subspace has a  $G$ -invariant complement.
2. Every representation over  $\mathbb{C}$  decomposes as a sum of irreducible representations.
3. Most aspects of character theory also carry over to the compact case (note however that if  $G$  is an infinite compact group, then expressions involving  $|G|$  may no longer be valid).

The regular representation of a compact group  $G$  is defined as the Hilbert space  $L^2(G)$  of square integrable functions on  $G$ , with the action of  $G$  given by

$$\varphi(g)(f): h \mapsto f(g^{-1}h).$$

If  $|G| = \infty$ , then  $\dim L^2(G) = \infty$ .

# Regular representation of compact groups

We have the following theorem about the decomposition of the regular representation for compact groups.

## Regular representation of compact groups

Let  $G$  be a compact group.

- (i) The linear span of all matrix coefficients of the irreducible unitary representations of  $G$  is dense in  $L^2(G)$ .
- (ii) Every irreducible unitary representation of  $G$  is finite-dimensional.
- (iii) The regular representation (which has infinite dimension if  $G$  is not finite)  $L^2(G)$  decomposes into a direct sum of the irreducible unitary representations of  $G$ , each occurring with multiplicity equal to its dimension. The matrix coefficients of the complete set of irreps form an orthonormal basis of  $L^2(G)$ .

See [Kna16, Thm. 1.12] for a proof.

## References

---

- [BR90] László Babai and Lajos Rónyai. “**Computing irreducible representations of finite groups**”. *Mathematics of computation* 55.192 (1990), pp. 705–722.
- [CSM95] Roger W. Carter, Graeme. Segal, and I. G. Macdonald. **Lectures on Lie groups and Lie algebras**. eng. Vol. 32. London Mathematical Society student texts. Cambridge: Cambridge University Press, 1995.
- [Eti+11] Pavel I Etingof et al. **Introduction to Representation Theory**. Vol. 59. Student Mathematical Library. American Mathematical Society, 2011.
- [FH13] William Fulton and Joe Harris. **Representation theory: a first course**. Vol. 129. Springer Science & Business Media, 2013.
- [Goo14] Frederick Goodman. **Algebra: Abstract and Concrete, edition 2.6**. SemiSimple Press (Frederick Goodman), 2014. eprint:  
<http://homepage.divms.uiowa.edu/~goodman/algebrabook.dir/book.2.6.pdf>.
- [Kna16] Anthony W. Kna. **Representation Theory of Semisimple Groups : An Overview Based on Examples (PMS-36)**. eng. With a New preface by the author. Princeton Landmarks in Mathematics and Physics. Princeton, NJ: Princeton University Press, 2016.

- [Ser77] Jean-Pierre Serre. ***Linear representations of finite groups***. Vol. 42. Graduate Texts in Mathematics. New York: Springer, 1977.
- [Tel05] Constantin Teleman. **“Representation theory”**. Lecture notes. 2005. eprint: <https://math.berkeley.edu/~teleman/math/RepThry.pdf>.
- [Wer89] Reinhard F. Werner. **“Quantum states with Einstein-Podolsky-Rosen correlations admitting a hidden-variable model”**. *Physical Review A* 40 (8 1989), pp. 4277–4281.