

Lecture 17: Invertibility and basis change

Last time: Invertibility of linear maps and isomorphic vector spaces

Recall Lecture 14: VS's V and W over F , $\dim V = n$, $\dim W = m$,

fix bases B_V, B_W in V and W , resp.

The map $M(\cdot)_{B_V, B_W} : \mathcal{L}_F(V, W) \rightarrow M_{m, n}(F)$

is a (linear) isomorphism. If U, V, W are VS's over F with

bases B_U, B_V, B_W , then

$$M(ST)_{B_U, B_W} = M(S)_{B_V, B_W} \cdot M(T)_{B_U, B_V}, \quad (*)$$

when $S \in \mathcal{L}_F(V, W)$, $T \in \mathcal{L}_F(U, V)$.

Prop Basis change

$M_n(F)$
 U

Let B and B' be two bases for V , $\dim V = n$, then $M(I_V)_{B, B'}$

is an invertible matrix with inverse $M(I_V)_{B, B'}^{-1} = M(I_V)_{B', B}$.

(Here, $I_V: V \rightarrow V, v \mapsto v$ is the identity map)

Proof: Clearly, $I_V \circ I_V = I_V^2 = I_V$, and for any basis B of V ,

by def. $M(I_V)_{B, B} = I_n = \begin{pmatrix} 1 & & 0 \\ & \ddots & \\ 0 & & 1 \end{pmatrix}$.

From (*), we get $I_n = M(I_V)_{B, B} = M(I_V^2)_{B, B} = M(I_V)_{B', B} \cdot M(I_V)_{B, B'}$.
 \square

Prop Let $T \in \mathcal{L}_{\mathbb{F}}(V, W)$ (V, W finite-dim. VS's)

The following are equivalent:

i) T is invertible

ii) $M(T)_{B_V, B_W}$ is invertible for any bases B_V for V and B_W for W .

Proof: i) \rightarrow ii) If T is invertible, then $T^{-1} \in \mathcal{L}_{\mathbb{F}}(W, V)$ exists and satisfies $T^{-1} \circ T = I_V$ and $T \circ T^{-1} = I_W$.

Now, let B_V and B_W be arbitrary bases for V and W , resp.

Then, with $\dim V = n$, $I_n = M(I_V)_{B_V, B_V}$

$$= M(T^{-1} \circ T)_{B_V, B_V}$$

$$\stackrel{(*)}{=} M(T^{-1})_{B_W, B_V} \cdot M(T)_{B_V, B_W}$$

Hence, $M(T)_{B_V, B_W}$ is invertible with inverse $M(T^{-1})_{B_W, B_V}$.

ii) \Rightarrow i) Let $T: V \rightarrow W$ be a linear map, and for fixed but

arbitrary bases B_V for V and B_W for W , let $A = M(T)_{B_V, B_W}$

be invertible. We know: $[T(v)]_{B_W} = M(T)_{B_V, B_W} \cdot [v]_{B_V}$

$$= A \cdot [v]_{B_V} = [w]_{B_W}$$

$$\begin{aligned} \text{We know: } [T(v)]_{B_W} &= M(T)_{B_V, B_W} \cdot M(v)_{B_V} \\ v \in V & \\ &= A \cdot [v]_{B_V} = [w]_{B_W} \end{aligned}$$

Define a linear map $S: W \rightarrow V$ via $[S(w)]_{B_V} := A^{-1} \cdot [w]_{B_W}$

$$\begin{aligned} \text{Then } [S(T(v))]_{B_V} &= A^{-1} \cdot [T(v)]_{B_W} \\ &= A^{-1} \cdot (A \cdot [v]_{B_V}) \\ &= \underbrace{A^{-1} \cdot A}_{I_n} \cdot [v]_{B_V} = [v]_{B_V} \end{aligned}$$

$$\Rightarrow S(T(v)) = v \text{ for all } v \in V \Rightarrow S = T^{-1}. \quad \square$$

Let us now w.l.o.g. assume that $W=V$ (since $V \cong W$ if and only if there exists an isomorphism $T: V \rightarrow W$, and then $\dim V = \dim W$).

Prop Let V be a VS over F with $\dim V = n$. Let $T \in \mathcal{L}_F(V, V)$

and set $A = M(T)_{B, B'}$ w.r.t. some bases B and B' for V .

TFAE:

- | | |
|------------------------|--|
| i) T is invertible | iv) A is invertible |
| ii) T is injective | v) The columns of A are lin. independent as vectors in F^n |
| iii) T is surjective | vi) The columns of A span F^n . |

Proof: First, we show $i) \Leftrightarrow ii) \Leftrightarrow iii)$

$i) \Rightarrow ii)$ true by Prop 3.56 (invertible \Leftrightarrow inj. + surj.)

$ii) \Rightarrow iii)$ T is injective $\Rightarrow \ker T = \{0\}$ by Prop 3.16

$$\begin{aligned} \Rightarrow \dim V &= \dim \ker T + \dim \operatorname{im} T \quad (\text{Prop 3.22}) \\ &= 0 + \dim \operatorname{im} T \end{aligned}$$

$\Rightarrow \operatorname{im} T = V$ (midterm exam) $\Rightarrow T$ surjective.

$iii) \Rightarrow i)$ Again, by dimension formula,

$$\dim \ker T = \dim V - \underbrace{\dim \operatorname{im} T}_{=V} = 0$$

$\Rightarrow \ker T = \{0\} \Rightarrow T$ is injective $\Rightarrow T$ invertible.

$i) \Leftrightarrow iv)$ true by previous Prop.

Remains to be shown: $iv) \Leftrightarrow v) \Leftrightarrow vi)$

$iv) \Rightarrow v)$ Recall: if $B = (b_1 | \dots | b_n)$, then $AB = (Ab_1 | \dots | Ab_n)$

$$\begin{aligned} A^{-1} \cdot A &= I_n = \begin{pmatrix} 1 & 0 & & 0 \\ \vdots & \vdots & \ddots & \vdots \\ 0 & 0 & & 1 \end{pmatrix} = (e_1 | \dots | e_n) = (A^{-1}a_1 | \dots | A^{-1}a_n) \\ \parallel & \\ (a_1 | \dots | a_n) & \Rightarrow A^{-1}a_i = e_i \quad 1 \leq i \leq n. \end{aligned}$$

$$A^{-1}a_i = e_i \quad 1 \leq i \leq n.$$

$a_i \in \mathbb{F}^n$... columns of the matrix A .

Assume $\lambda_1 a_1 + \dots + \lambda_n a_n = 0$ for some $\lambda_i \in \mathbb{F}$.

$$\text{Multiply by } A^{-1}: \lambda_1 \underbrace{A^{-1}a_1}_{e_1} + \dots + \lambda_n \underbrace{A^{-1}a_n}_{e_n} = A^{-1}0 = 0$$

But $\{e_1, \dots, e_n\}$ are lin. independent, and hence $\lambda_i = 0 \quad \forall i$.

$\Rightarrow \{a_1, \dots, a_n\}$ are lin. indep.

v) \Rightarrow vi) know: $\dim \mathbb{F}^n = n$, and we have n lin. independent

vectors $a_1, \dots, a_n \Rightarrow \{a_1, \dots, a_n\}$ is a basis for \mathbb{F}^n ,

and in particular $\langle a_1, \dots, a_n \rangle = \mathbb{F}^n$.

vii) \Rightarrow iv) to show: A invertible, i.e., there exists $B \in M_n(\mathbb{F})$

$$\text{with } A \cdot B = B \cdot A = I_n.$$

Recall that $(I_n)_{ij} = \delta_{ij}$ for $1 \leq i, j \leq n$.

Let $\{e_1, \dots, e_n\}$ be the standard basis of \mathbb{F}^n , $(e_j)_i = \delta_{ij}$

Let $\{a_1, \dots, a_n\}$ be the column vectors of A , $(a_k)_i = A_{ik}$

Since $\langle a_1, \dots, a_n \rangle = \mathbb{F}^n$ by assumption, we can write

$$e_j = \sum_{k=1}^n \lambda_{kj} a_k \quad \text{for } 1 \leq j \leq n.$$

$$e_j = \sum_{k=1}^n \lambda_{kj} a_k \quad \text{for } 1 \leq j \leq n.$$

$$(e_j)_i = \delta_{ij} = \sum_{k=1}^n \lambda_{kj} (a_k)_i = \sum_{k=1}^n A_{ik} \lambda_{kj}$$

" $(I_n)_{ij}$ " $= A_{ik}$

\Rightarrow defining $B \in M_n(\mathbb{F})$ via $(B)_{ij} = \lambda_{ij}$, we have

$$I_n = A \cdot B \quad \Rightarrow \quad B = A^{-1} \text{ and } A$$

is invertible. □