

Lecture 7: Span and linear independence

Last time: Subspaces, sums of subspaces, direct sums

Def (2.3, 2.5) Let V be a vector space over a field \mathbb{F} , and $v_1, \dots, v_m \in V$.

.) A linear combination of v_1, \dots, v_m is a vector

$$\sum_{i=1}^m a_i v_i, \quad \text{where } a_i \in \mathbb{F} \text{ for } 1 \leq i \leq m.$$

.) The span $\langle v_1, \dots, v_m \rangle$ of the vectors v_i is the set of all

$$\text{linear combinations: } \langle v_1, \dots, v_m \rangle = \left\{ \sum_{i=1}^m a_i v_i : a_i \in \mathbb{F} \text{ for } 1 \leq i \leq m \right\}$$

By definition, $\langle \emptyset \rangle = \{0\}$.

Prop 2.7 Let $v_1, \dots, v_m \in V$. Then $\langle v_1, \dots, v_m \rangle$ is a subspace of V , and it is the smallest subspace of V containing v_1, \dots, v_m .

Proof: We first show that $\langle v_1, \dots, v_m \rangle \leq V$.

We have $0 = 0v_1 + \dots + 0v_m \in \langle v_1, \dots, v_m \rangle$

Clearly, the set of all linear combinations of v_1, \dots, v_m is closed under vector addition and scalar multiplication by definition.

\Rightarrow By Prop 1.34, $\langle v_1, \dots, v_m \rangle \leq V$ is a subspace.

Let now $W \subseteq V$ with $v_i \in W$ for $1 \leq i \leq m$.

By Prop 7.34, W is closed under add. and scalar mult.,

and hence $\sum_{i=1}^m a_i v_i \in W$ for all $a_i \in \bar{F}$, $1 \leq i \leq m$.

Therefore, $v_i \in \langle v_1, \dots, v_m \rangle \subseteq W \Rightarrow$ claim. \square

Def 2.8 A vector space V is called finite-dimensional,

if it has a finite spanning set: $\exists v_1, \dots, v_m \in V$, $m \in \mathbb{N}$,

with $\langle v_1, \dots, v_m \rangle = V$.

Ex.: \rightarrow For any field \bar{F} and $n \in \mathbb{N}$, the vector space \bar{F}^n is finite-dimensional, because it is spanned by the standard basis e_1, \dots, e_n , where $(e_i)_j = \delta_{ij}$ for $1 \leq i, j \leq n$.

$$\bar{F}^n = \langle e_1, \dots, e_n \rangle.$$

$\rightarrow P_d(\bar{F})$ (polynomials over \bar{F} with $\deg \leq d$) is finite-dim,

since $P_d(\bar{F}) = \langle 1, x, x^2, \dots, x^d \rangle$ ($1 \in \bar{F}$).

\rightarrow The space of all polynomials over \bar{F} (with unbounded degree) is not finite-dimensional.

\rightarrow The space $C([a, b])$ of cont. functions on $[a, b]$ is not fin.-dim.

Linear (in-)dependence

Consider \mathbb{R}^2 and the vectors $v_1 = \begin{pmatrix} 1 \\ 0 \end{pmatrix}$, $v_2 = \begin{pmatrix} 1 \\ 1 \end{pmatrix}$, $v_3 = \begin{pmatrix} 2 \\ 1 \end{pmatrix}$

This list of vectors is in some sense redundant, because

$$\cdot) \text{ e.g., } v_3 = v_1 + v_2 \quad \text{or} \quad v_1 + v_2 - v_3 = 0$$

$$\cdot) \langle v_1, v_2, v_3 \rangle = \langle v_1, v_2 \rangle \quad \text{but} \quad \langle v_i \rangle \subsetneq \langle v_1, v_2 \rangle, \quad i=1,2$$

We call $\{v_1, v_2, v_3\}$ linearly dependent, and $\{v_1, v_2\}$ lin. independent.

Def 2.17 Linear independence

A list of $\{v_1, \dots, v_m\}$ of vectors $v_i \in V$ is called linearly independent, if

$$a_1 v_1 + \dots + a_m v_m = 0 \text{ for } a_i \in \mathbb{F} \Rightarrow a_i = 0 \text{ for } 1 \leq i \leq m.$$

By definition, the empty list $\{\}$ is linearly independent.

If $\{v_1, \dots, v_m\}$ is not linearly independent, we call it

linearly dependent, i.e., there are $a_i \in \mathbb{F}$, $a_i \neq 0$ for at

least one $i \in \{1, \dots, m\}$, s.t. $\sum_{j=1}^m a_j v_j = 0 \Leftrightarrow v_i = \sum_{\substack{j=1 \\ j \neq i}}^m -\frac{a_j}{a_i} v_j$

Lemma 2.21 Let $\{v_1, \dots, v_m\}$ with $v_i \in V$ be lin. dependent.

Then there exists $j \in \{1, \dots, m\}$ such that

i) $v_j \in \langle v_1, \dots, v_{j-1} \rangle$

ii) $\langle v_1, \dots, v_m \rangle = \langle v_1, \dots, v_{j-1}, v_{j+1}, \dots, v_m \rangle$

Proof: By assumption, there are $a_i \in \mathbb{F}$, not all $= 0$, s.t.

$$a_1 v_1 + \dots + a_m v_m = 0. \quad (*)$$

$$a_1 v_1 + \dots + a_j v_j = 0$$

Let $j \in \{1, \dots, m\}$ be the largest index s.t. $a_j \neq 0$.

Then we can rewrite (*) as $v_j = -\frac{a_1}{a_j} v_1 - \dots - \frac{a_{j-1}}{a_j} v_{j-1}$ (**)

$$\Rightarrow v_j \in \langle v_1, \dots, v_{j-1} \rangle \Rightarrow \text{i)}$$

ii) Let $w \in \langle v_1, \dots, v_m \rangle$, i.e., $\exists c_i \in \mathbb{F}$ s.t.

$$\begin{aligned} w &= \sum_{i=1}^m c_i v_i = c_j v_j + \sum_{i \neq j} c_i v_i \\ &= \sum_{i=1}^{j-1} \left(c_i - \frac{c_i a_i}{a_j} \right) v_i + \sum_{i=j+1}^m c_i v_i \end{aligned}$$

$$\Rightarrow w \in \langle v_1, \dots, v_{j-1}, v_{j+1}, \dots, v_m \rangle \Rightarrow \text{ii)}. \quad \square$$

Prop 2.23 Let V be a finite-dim. vector space, i.e.,

there are $v_1, \dots, v_n \in V$ s.t. $\langle v_1, \dots, v_n \rangle = V$.

Let also $u_1, \dots, u_m \in V$ s.t. $\{u_1, \dots, u_m\}$ are lin. independent.

Then $m \leq n$.

Proof: Since $V = \langle v_1, \dots, v_n \rangle$, we have $u_1 \in \langle v_1, \dots, v_n \rangle$.

$\Rightarrow \{u_1, v_1, \dots, v_n\}$ is linearly dependent.

By Lem. 2.21, there is a $j \in \{1, \dots, n\}$ s.t. we can remove

v_j from the list u_1, v_1, \dots, v_n and still have

$$\langle u_1, v_1, \dots, v_{j-1}, v_{j+1}, \dots, v_n \rangle = V.$$

Assume we have done this $k-1$ times:

$$\langle u_1, \dots, u_{k-1}, v_{i_1}, \dots, v_{i_{k-1}} \rangle = V$$

Now add u_k to this list, render it to $u_1, \dots, u_k, v_{i_1}, \dots, v_{i_{k-1}}$.

By Lem 2.21, we can again remove one of the v_j 's.

Since $\{u_1, \dots, u_k\}$ are part of a lin. independent set of vectors,

we always remove one of the v_j 's in this process.

Continue this process until $k=m$, so that we have inserted

all u_i 's in the list. In each step, we found one v_j vector

to remove $\Rightarrow \# u_i$'s $\leq \# v_j$'s $\Rightarrow m \leq n$. \square